

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND
J.M.F.C. AT PANDAVAPURA**

O.S.No.94/2023

PLAINTIFFS :- Gowramma and others

V/s

DEFENDANT :- Ambuja and another

ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that, the plaintiffs and defendants have constituted Hindu Undivided joint family and suit schedule properties are ancestral and joint family properties of plaintiffs and defendant as averred in their plaint para 1 to 4?
2. Whether the defendants prove that the plaintiffs have not include property bearing Sy.No.71/2 extent 0.02 guntas of Kennalu village, Kasaba Hobli, Pandavapura Taluk, in present suit as contended in their written statement para 8?
3. Whether the defendants further prove that the plaintiffs are colluding with Special Land Acquisition Officer or the Assistant Commissioner, (Pandavapura Sub-Division) Pandavapura and get compensation amount of Rs.5,61,338/- without gave share of defendant No.1 and 2 as contended in their written statement?

4. Whether the defendants further prove that they have also entitled to get equal share in acquired land bearing Sy.No.71/1 extent 0.03 guntas for the purpose of Bengaluru – Mysuru Double track railway bridge as contended in their written statement?
5. Whether the plaintiffs prove that, they have entitled to get suit relief as sought in their plaint?
6. What order or decree?

(Issues framed and pronounced in the open court on this the **2nd day of April 2026**)

(R.MAHESHA)
Prl. Senior Civil Judge and JMFC.,
Pandavapura.