

**IN THE COURT OF THE 1st ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE & JMFC.,
NAGAMANGALA.**

CC No.798/2021

CW.12/PW.10

Name of the witness : Sri. Sharathkumar H.P
Father's name : Prakash
Age : 40 years
Occupation : Police Inspector, Pandavapura
Residence : Pandavapura
Duly sworn on : 09.06.2026

Examination in chief by : The learned APP:

I was worked as PSI at Bellur Police Station from October 2017 to November 2019.

On 04.08.2019 at 11.45am., when I was in the police station, CW1 came to the police station and intimated to me that Tahsildar has informed to CW1 about storing of public distribution rice by the accused in his godown opposite to the APMC yard and to take help from jurisdictional police to conduct raid.

Immediately myself and my staff accompanied CW1 and by seeing us accused ran away from the spot/godown. CW1 went inside of the godown and found rice bags and at the spot CW1 had given complaint to me at 12.30pm. Thereafter, I have sent the said complaint to the police station through CW10. Now I see signature on the FIS. FIS is already marked as Ex.P1. Signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P1(c). CW11 informed me about registering of FIR. I have secured panchas i.e., CW2 to CW4. I have informed the panchas about the incident and I

have seized 25 rice bags in the presence of CW2 to CW4 and I have collected samples and drawn mahazar between 12.50 to 02.20pm. Now I see mahazar and my signature. Mahazar is already marked as Ex.P2. Signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P2(c). Now I see sample rice – 5 small bags, same are already marked as M.O.1 to M.O.5. I have took seized items to the police station and filed PF. On 06.08.2019 I have submitted seized rice bags to the Tahsildar and on 07.08.2019 Tahsildar submitted the seized rice bags to the Food and Rice Supply Department. Now I see requisition dated:06.08.2019 and my signature, same are marked as Ex.P11 and Ex.P11(a). I have recorded statement of CW2 to CW5 and CW7. I have further investigation to CW13. Witness identified accused.

Cross examination by : Sri.JKR., Counsel for the accused :

CW1 lodged the complaint at 12.30pm. In my presence the complaint has written by the complainant. I have not give any instructions to write the complaint and CW1 herself written the complaint. I have accompanied CW1 and at a time both we had been to the spot.

It is false to suggest that no reference in respect of my presence in the complaint. I have sent complaint to the police station through CW10 and he has returned to the spot withing 5 minutes.

FIS received at 12.40pm., by the SHO and at about 15 minutes FIR has been registered. After registration of FIR we have conducted mahazar. CW2 to CW4 were present opposite to the APMC yard. After registration of FIR I have issued notice to

the CW3 and CW4 to act as panchas. After registration of FIR orally I have intimated CW3 and CW4 about the incident and requested to act as panchas. I have not written about oral intimation and issuance of notice to panchas in the mahazar.

I have issued notice to CW3 and CW4 at the spot. I could not remember the exact time of issuance of notice to CW3 and CW4. Typed copy has been given to the CW3 and CW4. We have typed notice by using laptop. HC-54 took laptop and printer while going to the raid. Generally we carry laptop and printer while going to the raid. HC-54 typed the notice by using laptop. If there is complaint sue moto case would not be registered. I have accompanied CW1 while going to the raid for protection. It is false to suggest that I am not the witness. Orally requested CW1 to give protection to them.

It is false to suggest that I have not present at the spot and not issued notice and not conducted mahazar and not seized rice bags and not collected samples from the rice bags and not weighed the rice bags.

Re-Examination : Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court, corrected and then signed by me.)

ROI & AC

**1st Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Nagamangala**