

Witness present and duly sworn on: 26.03.2025.

Further cross examination by: Smt.TKR., Advocate for Defendant:

I have no difficulty to bring mother of defendant on record. It is true to say that Padmavathi executed agreement of sale to clear the debts of her brother M.Ravi. I have not produced the documents to show the availability of Rs.5,00,000/- in my bank account. It is true to say that the time period of 6 months is mentioned in the agreement of sale for completion of the sale transaction.

It is false to suggest that Padmavathi has not executed any agreement of sale in my favour and filed false suit. It is false to suggest that there is no necessity to execute the agreement of sale by the Padmavathi for the benefit of her minor son i.e., defendant.

It is false to suggest that there is no necessity to sell the suit property to me by the Padmavathi for the benefit of her minor son. It is true to say that as per my convenience I have made my friends as attested witnesses to the agreement of sale. It is false to suggest that Ravi has cleared the hand loans even though I have filed false suit against the defendants.

Further examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court, corrected and then signed by me)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Nagamangala