

Witness present and duly sworn on : 23.07.2026.

Further cross examination by : Sri.MEH., Advocate for Defendant No.1 and 2 :

It is false to suggest that D1 is handicap and he is unhealthy and he is not able to work. Defendant No.1 initially he was private bus conductor. It is true to say that if he had gone to the work only he is getting income. My father died in the year 1989. It is true to say that prior to death of my father myself and my sisters are already married. It is false to suggest that my parents have taken hand loans to perform my marriage and my sisters marriage.

I have married in the year 1977. It is true to say that after the marriage I have started living with my husband at Bengaluru. It is true to say that my sisters after their marriage they are started living in their father in law house. Myself, plaintiff No.2 and 3 are residing at Bengaluru. It is true to say that frequently I.e., in the festivals I used to visit the parents house. It is true to say that my brother was born the festival expenses. Witness volunteers that they have also contribute the money for the festivals. My husband was not doing any work. It is true to say that myself looking after affairs of my family. I am a Government Teacher.

We have difficulty to show our present address in the cause title of the plaint. It is false to suggest that defendant No.1 sold item No.1 of the property to the defendant No.3 for the family necessities and we have no objection to sell the same to the defendant No.3. It is true to say that my elder sister was married in the year 1969. My father was growing Wheet, Ragi and Jower. It is true to say that the

crops are not mentioned in the RTC. It is false to suggest that we are not growing crops in the item No.1 of the suit property. It is true to say that I have knowledge about transfer of khatha of the suit schedule properties in the name of my brother/DW1. We have difficulty to object of transfer of khatha in the name of my brother in respect of suit properties. It is false to suggest that we have no right over the item No.1 of the suit property because it was sold by my brother for family necessities. It is false to suggest that the defendant No.1 ready to give the share in all the suit schedule properties except item No.1 of the suit property and he was not cheated us.

Further Examination : Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court, corrected and then signed by me.)

ROI & AC

**1st Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Nagamangala**