

Witness present and duly sworn on: 20.02.2026.

Cross examination by: Sri.PCM., Advocate for defendant No.1 and 2 :

Chikkegowda had 3 children viz., Dasappa, Kempaiah and Nanjappa. It is true to say that my father and his siblings have partitioned the properties. The properties bearing Sy.No.59, house property No.865 and 444 have fallen to the share of my father under partition and khatha also effected in the name of my father. I have no difficulty to produce the documents which stands in the name of my father.

Myself have given instruction to prepare the plaint. Item No.1 to 6 of the suit properties stands in the name of my father. It is false to suggest that the item No.1 to 6 of the suit properties not stands in the name of my father by name Kempaiah at the time of filing of the suit. It is false to suggest that only item No.7 and 8 of the suit properties are the ancestral properties. It is false to suggest that the extent of the item No.9 of the suit property is only 35 X 40 sq.fts.

I have married in the year 1984. It is false to suggest that my father and my brothers jointly performed my marriage and given me to the good family at Amruthur Village, Kunigal Taluk. It is true to say that my father was working with Bhramasuraiah and leading the family. It is false to suggest that defendant No.1 joined the job with Bramasuraiah in the year 1993. It is true to say that defendant No.1 was doing job

as daily labour at Siriculture Department, Maddur. It is true to say that in the year 1983 defendant No.1 joined the job as attender in the V.V.Puram College, Bengaluru. It is false to suggest that defendant No.2 was doing coolie work and assisting my father. It is false to suggest that my brothers i.e., defendant No.1 spent Rs.3,00,000/- for my marriage and I am leading my life separately.

It is false to suggest that after my marriage my husband and my father in law jointly constructed the shops at Amruthur. It is false to suggest that we are not getting more income in the Amruthur Village. It is false to suggest that after receipt of the amount of Rs.3,00,000/- from my parents house I have given the said amount to my father in law and my husband to construct the shops at Amruthur and I am deposing falsely.

It is false to suggest that item No.1 to 4 of the suit properties purchased by the defendant No.1 out of his own income and item No.5 and 6 of the suit properties purchased by defendant No.2 out of his own income.

It is true to say that Gangamma i.e., my aunt filed the suit against my father and item No.1 to 6 of the suit schedule properties of the suit are part and parcel of the suit filed by the Gangamma. It is false to suggest that my father has preferred appeal against the Judgment and Decree of the suit

filed by Gangamma and in the said appeal it is declared that item No.1 to 6 of the suit property belongs to the defendant No.1 and 2 and the said properties are not the joint family properties and further declared that only item No.7 to 9 of the suit properties are the ancestral properties of our family. I have read the Judgment of the said suit and appeal.

Further cross examination of PW1 is deferred on request of Advocate for defendant No.1 and 2.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court, corrected and then signed by me)

R.O.I. & A.C.

1st Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Nagamangala