

Bail Matters 142/2025
SHEENA SEBASTIAN Vs. STATE
FIR NO 231/2024
PS Mehrauli

14.02.2025

This is an application U/s 480 of BNSS for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Sheena Sebastian.

Present: Ms. Ravita, Ld. APP for the State.

Sh. MPS Kasana, Ld. Counsel for the complainant appearing through VC.

Sh. Deepak Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the complainant.
IO/SI Narendra is present.

Accused Rozar John is present.

Accused Sheena Sebastian produce from JC.

Sh. Alex Joseph and Sh. Sumit Mangla, Ld. Counsels for both accused persons.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused Sheena Sebastian that this is the first bail application on behalf of the accused after filing of charge-sheet against accused Sheena Sebastian and no bail application is pending before any other Court and no bail application has been decided previously by any other Court after filing of the charge-sheet against accused Sheena Sebastian. IO also submits that no bail application has been filed by accused Sheena Sebastian in any other Court after the filing of charge-sheet against accused Sheena Sebastian.

It is stated by the counsel for accused that accused has been falsely implicated in the present case, who has nothing to do with the alleged offence. It is stated that no further recovery is to be effected from the accused in the present case. It is further stated that the co-accused is already on bail. It is further stated that no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the accused in judicial custody.

The present application has been opposed by the Ld. Counsel for the complainant, by the IO and by the Ld. APP for the State. Submissions heard. Record perused.

After considering the respective arguments and perusing the record this Court of view that since charge-sheet has been filed in the present case and the case is now listed for arguments on charge, this Court is of the view that no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the accused in judicial custody during further proceedings. Therefore, in order to secure the presence of the accused during trial, accused Sheena Sebastian W/o Sh. Rozar John is admitted to bail subject to furnishing personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety of like amount subject to the following conditions:-

- i) That the applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the court or to any other authority;
- ii) That she shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail;

- iii) That she shall not tamper with evidence in any manner;
- iv) That in case of change of her residential address, she shall intimate the court about the same; and
- v) That she shall regularly appear before the court on each and every date of hearing.
- vi) That she shall cooperate in any further investigation as and when directed by the IO.

The present application stands disposed of.

A copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent including through e-mail as well as physically with the direction to the Jail Superintendent to enter the date of grant of bail in Eprisons Software in compliance of directions issued by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case IN RE POLICY STRATEGY FOR GRANT OF BAIL SMWP (CRL.) No. 4/21.

Ahlmad is directed to ensure compliance of this directions without any fail.

Copy of this order be given dasti to counsel for accused and to counsel for complainant.

(BHANU PRATAP SINGH)
JMFC-02(South)/Saket Courts
New Delhi/14.02.2025