

CS (COMM) No. 64/26
M/S Make My Trip India Pvt. Ltd. Vs. M/S Sport Ex
Consultancy

25.04.2026

Present: Sh. Abhinav Hansaraman Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Ms. Gauri Bansal and Sh. Abhishek Ahluwalia
(Enrollment No.D8071/2025) Ld. Counsels for
defendant.

- 1 On the earlier occasion, I have heard arguments on application u/o.8 rule 1 CPC dated 28.03.2026 seeking condonation of 30 days delay in filing written statement.
- 2 In this application, it is stated that defendant was served on 27.01.2026. The process of procuring requisite documents from various sources and verifying the same consumed a considerable period of time which resulted the delay in filing the present written statement. It is stated that the delay may be condoned.
- 3 In reply to this application, it is stated that there is no document appended which would have taken considerable time or any verification. It is stated

that due to this reason, the delay could not be condoned.

- 4 I have gone through the application, its reply, material on record and submissions forwarded by Ld. Counsels for parties.
- 5 In this case, the reason for delay is stated to be verification of the documents for the purposes of filing with written statement which appears to be bonafide plea. Delay caused can be compensated with imposition of cost. So, the application is allowed subject to cost of Rs.5,000/- to be paid to the plaintiff through counsel. Application disposed off.
- 6 Today an application u/o.1 rule 10 CPC and u/o.7 rule 11 CPC filed. Copy given.
- 7 Put up for reply, if any, and arguments on these application u/o.1 rule 10 CPC and u/o.7 rule 11 CPC on 07.05.2026.

(Rakesh Pandit)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-13/
Central/Tis Hazari/Delhi/25.04.2026