

perused the I n 19
40 BR 121 by the
PTA of I n 19 for
approval of the plan.
by implied the also
by number of the

SSP Item no 3 & 5, which
are not Clauses the
nature of said 5 further
emphasized the propriety of
pro. Items 8 to 11.

The Def further
demanded that the pro. prop.
are self agreed property
of Dye.

But in the end
further the pro. prop.
implied all the future
property as such when
the said prop. arises
or not - will be decided
after full pledge to me.

But while deciding the
said prop. - I cannot
go on merit,
the said. I only decide
to determine the said
controversy b/w parties

Whether the proposed
amendment is necessary
or not. Then the proposed
amendment is very to
be determined the contract
b/n the parties. Some
nature of contract making
the suit will be
changed. Offense the
app is very delicate.
A.T. may be compensated
on 10th. Then the very
D.A. 19406 R12 is
here by A.T. on
10th & 20th -
for amendment, so
presented and new.
C.A. 16/7
11/3