

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDITIONAL C.J. AT MADDUR

O.S.211/2012

PLAINTIFF/S : **K.T. Thimmegowda**
 -VS-

DEFENDANT/S : **State of Karnataka and Others**

I S S U E S

1. Whether the plaintiff proves that, he is the absolute owner of the suit schedule property ?
2. Whether the plaintiff further proves the alleged construction of compound by the defendant no.7 over the suit schedule property ?
3. Whether the defendant no.7 proves that the suit is barred by law of limitation as contended in para no.2 of their written statement ?
4. Whether the defendant no.7 further proves that the court fee paid by the plaintiff is insufficient ?
5. Whether the defendant no.7 further proves that the suit is not maintainable for not complying with the mandatory provisions of Section 80 of CPC and the provisions of K.I.A.D.B. Act 1966 as contended in para no.4 of their written statement ?
6. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the relief of declaration as sought for
7. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the mandatory injunction and possession as sought for ?

8. What order or decree ?

Dated this the 17th day of February 2018.

 17/2/18.
(Amol Jayakumar Hirikude)
2nd ADDL.C.J. & J.M.F.C.MADDUR.