

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, GAYA
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 1586/2026

=====

1. Chamaru Chaudhary S/o Bhola Chaudhary
2. Raju Chaudhary S/o Bhola Chaudhary
3. Malti Devi W/o Bhola Chaudhary
4. Puni Devi W/o Raju Chaudhary
5. Kranti Devi W/o Chamaru Chaudhary
6. Bhola Chaudhary S/o Late Basanti Chaudhary
7. Chandrika Chaudhary S/o Late Sukhu Chaudhary
All R/o Village- Mananpur, P.S.- Sarbahda, District- Gaya----- Petitioners
VERSUS

The State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

=====

14.07.2026

An anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of above named accused/petitioners, who are apprehending their arrest, in connection with **Sarbahda P.S. Case No. 60/2026**, for the offences registered **U/s. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S. ¾ D.P. Act, pending in the Court of Ld. A.C.J.M.-IV, Gaya.**

2. Heard both the sides on the bail application.
3. Learned defence counsel submitted that prior to this, the petitioners have not filed either anticipatory or regular bail before this Court or the Hon'ble Patna High Court. It is further submitted that petitioners are innocent, committed no offence and have falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that petitioner no. 01 to 06 are in-laws whereas petitioner No. 7 is mediator (Agua) and they have no concern with the alleged offence, rather they have been falsely dragged in this case. It is further submitted that Section 109 of B.N.S. is not applicable against the petitioners. Lastly he submitted that petitioners having no criminal antecedent. Hence, it is prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the accused petitioners.
4. Per contra the Ld. A.P.P. appeared on behalf of State duly assisted by Ld. Counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Prosecution case, in brief, as per complainant is that she got married to Dhananjay Kumar on 20.02.2026 according to Hindu rites and at the time of marriage, the informant gave cash Rs. 04 lakhs, gold 01 bhar worth Rs. 1,40,000/- one splendor plus as a gift. Thereafter informant's husband asked the informant to bring money from her father then he will keep the informant with him. Accused petitioner Chamaru Chaudhary, Raju Chaudhary and Malti Devi used to abuse her. Accused petitioner Puni Devi, Raju Chaudhary, Kranti Devi always threatened her to kill. Accused Petitioner Chandrika Chaudhary said her to give some money to petitioner then they will not assault her.

6. Heard both the parties and perused the material available on record. From perusal, it appears that petitioners are named in the F.I.R. The allegation against the petitioners are that they demanded further money as dowry from her and on non-fulfillment of the same, they tortured her physically and mentally and also assaulting her. Petitioners are in-laws, other than husband. Allegation against the petitioners are general and omnibus. Nothing incriminating material is on record against the petitioners. Informant appeared before the Court on 17.06.2026 and did not stated anything against them. Petitioners claimed to have clean antecedent vide para 03 of their bail petition.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and other materials on record, this court thinks it fit to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within a month from the date of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each. This direction for anticipatory bail is subject to all the conditions stipulated u/s. 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Shashi Kant Ojha)
Addl. Sessions Judge-1, Gaya
14.07.2026