

5C.R.P.67

Form No.4 (Civil) Deposition Sheets (R.P.No.57)

I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, MANDYA.

SC NO.172/2022

CW16, pw22

Accused produced through V.C.Witness duly sworn on 22.12.2025.

Witness name	Dr. Vijay Kumar N
Father Name	K P Nagaraju
Age	44 years
Occupation	Professor and HOD, Department of Plastic surgery, K.R. Hospital Mysuru.
Residence	Mysuru.

Chief examination : by Public Prosecutor.

- 1) Since 2015 I have been working as Professor and HOD, Department of Plastic surgery, K.R. Hospital Mysuru.
- 2) On 03.08.2022, Siddaraju admitted at our KR Hospital with the history of burn injuries. Said Siddaraju sustained burn injuries at about 20% to 25% over his face, both upper limbs with severe inhalation burns. He was treated for the said burn injuries at our hospital. After treatment he was discharged on 10.08.2022. Now, I can see the certified case sheets containing 24 pages pertaining to the treatment to Siddaraju.

The said case sheet containing 24 pages are collectively marked as Ex.P.48. The witness identified the copy of requisition of the police to him, shown in page No.15 in Ex.P.48.

- 3) The case sheet of Siddaraju contains my signature.
- 4) On 03.08.2022, Mahadevamma admitted at our KR Hospital with the history of homicidal attack by her husband and she sustained burn injuries to the extent of 40% to 45% over her both lower limb, right upper limb, back and face. She also sustained inhalation burns, for which she underwent treatment at our hospital. She was discharged from our hospital on 14.08.2022. During discharge of Madavemma, she was advised to come for regular dressing of wound as she was still had the wounds over her body. Now, I can see the certified case sheets containing 31 pages pertaining to the treatment to Madadevamma. The said case sheet containing 31 pages are collectively marked as Ex.P.49. The witness identified the copy of requisition of the police to him, shown in page No.11 in Ex.P.49.
- 5) I also received the requisition from the Tahasildar and he obtained statement of the Mahadevamma in my presence. The witness identified his signature in Ex.P47, the statement recorded by Tahasildar. The said signature of witness is marked as Ex.P.47(e).

- 6) The witness identified his signature in Ex.P.43, the statement of Mahadevamma. The said signature of witness is marked as Ex.P.43(a).

At this stage, the Learned PP has shown two photographs to witness and witness stated that, those photographs snapped during recording of statement of Mahadevamma. *Since the prosecution has not produced required certificate U.Sec. 65(B) Indian Evidence Act, those photographs are not marked.*

- 7) The Ex.P1 shown to the witness, and witness identified his signature in Ex.P1. Said signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P1(b).
- 8) The Ex.P2 and 3 shown to the witness, and he stated that, he is appearing in Ex.P.2 photograph.
- 9) During recording of statement of Siddaraju I was present and at that time said photographs snapped.

Cross examination by : Sri. KTS advocate: Chief defence counsel for accused :

Sri. KTS advocate seeks to pass over the matter for cross examination. His prayer is allowed.
(Typed on my dictation in the open court).

R O I & A C

(**Shivaprasad K. B**)

I Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Mandya.

Accused produced through V.C.

Witness called at 12.40 noon on 22.12.2025.

Witness duly sworn :

Cross examination by : Sri. KTS advocate: Chief defence counsel for accused :

10) There are 4 grades of burn injuries. It is true to suggest that, the grades of burn injuries will be assessed on the depth of burn injuries.

Question : Deep burn injuries could cause spoil of blood vessels ?

Answer : Such deep burn injuries could cause spoil of blood vessels in such part only.

11) The PW1 sustained II degree burn injuries. It is true to suggest that, burn injuries causes by high inflammation. I have treated injured Siddaraju PW1 and deceased Mahadevamma through out their admission at our hospital. I have not prescribed sedative drugs to PW1 Siddaraju. It is false to suggest that, normally sedative drugs will be prescribed for burn injured patients. It is false to suggest that, the drugs prescribed by me to injured PW1 could affect the consciousness of injured. I can not remember the attended of PW1 – Siddaraju. I can not remember how may question asked to the injured PW1, while recording his statement.

Question : The ex.P1 is not in the verbatival language of injured ?

Answer : Whatever the statement narrated by the injured, the police recorded in Ex.P. 1.

12) I have mentioned the duration of statement of PW1 in Ex.P.1. It is true to suggest that, the police people or myself does not show in Ex.P3. It is true to suggest that, the police people or myself are not sitting in Ex.P.2. It is false to suggest that, in order to take the photographs at Ex.P2, we stood near the PW1. It is false to suggest that, the police have not recorded the statement of PW1 in my presence. It is false to suggest that, the police prepared the Ex.P1 statement, for which I signed. It is false to suggest that, the police have obtained only the photographs at ward and they have not recorded the statement of injured PW1 in my presence.

13) It is true to suggest that, the Ex.P43 statement of Mahadevamma is not in verbatim form. The statement of Mahadevamma at Ex.P40 recorded for 1 hour. It is false to suggest that, Mahadevamma has not given any statement in my presence. It is false to suggest that, the police prepared the Ex.P.43 and obtained my signature to Ex.P43.

14) Said Mahadevamma sustained severe burn injuries. I do not know the attendant of Mahadevamma at the hospital. Usually, the attendant of the patient will not be present during recording the statement of such patient. It is false to suggest that, the Ex.P1

and 43 are the readymade statement of the police. It is false to suggest that, except signature in Ex.P1 and Ex.P43 I do not know anything. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely on the request of the police. It is false to suggest that, the police have not recorded any statements for one hour as stated by me.

Re – examination : NIL

(Typed on my dictation in the open court).

R O I & A C

(Shivaprasad K. B)

I Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Mandya.