

Witness called and duly sworn on 25.06.2026.

RW-1

Cross Examination by Smt.HSY, Advocate for petitioner.

It is true to suggest that the acquired land is situated at the distance of 2.5 k.mtrs. from the office of Tahasildar. It is false to suggest that Hotels, Government Offices are situated around the acquired land. It is false to suggest that the said land is adjacent to the National Highway. It is false to suggest that film Theaters and Shamils are there near the acquired land. It is false to suggest that said land is commercial land. It is false to suggest that we did not consider this aspect and determined less value. It is false to suggest that the value of the land in that area is more than Rs.10,00,000/- per gunta.

2. I do not know that the value of the land is a Rs.194/- per square feet. It is false to suggest that we did not consider the Ex.P.7 and 8 sale deed at the time of determining the market value. I do not know that value of the land is Rs.2,00,000/- per gunta as per Ex.P.7 and 8 sale deeds.

3. I do not know that we did not consider judgment in Ex.P.9 and 10. It is false to suggest that we did not give interest to the petitioner to the land owner. Witness identified the proceedings of the government dated 01.08.2013 and at the request of advocate

for Petitioner same is marked as Ex.P.11. It is true to suggest that in the Ex.P.11 there is mentioned as to irrigation of situated land. It is false to suggest that we intentionally fixed lesser value. It is false to suggest that with in time matter is referred.

- Re-examination- Nil -

(Typed to my dictation in the Open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.

(Hattikal Prabhu S)
2nd Addl. District & Sessions
Judge, Mandya.