

[C.R.P.67]

Form No.4 (Civil)  
Deposition Sheets  
(R.P.No.57)

**IN THE COURT OF V-ADDL., DISTRICT  
JUDGE AT MANDYA.**

**S.C. Case No. 112/2019**

**CW-09 - PW-05**

|               |                   |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Name          | Dr.Vasanthkumar   |
| Father's name | Nagaraju          |
| Age           | 36 Years          |
| Occupation    | CMO, MIMS, Mandya |
| Residence     | Mandya            |

**Duly sworn on 08.04.2026**

**Examination in chief by the Spl. Public Prosecutor.**

1. From 2016 till date I am working as Casualty Medical Officer at MIMS, Mandya.
2. On 13.01.2017 at 5.00 PM I have examined a patient by name Anusuya, aged 40 years, who was brought by her relative Sathishkumar with a history of assault.
3. The patient herself gave me the history that on 13.01.2017 at around 2.15 PM she was assaulted by Nagaraj, Vinay, Gowramma, Vidyakumari, Dooddathayamma, Shekara by using wooden sticks and manhandling near her Village at the agricultural field at Tandanayakanadoddi, Basaralu.
4. On examination I found an abrasion over the left side forearm measuring 3 x 3 CM and tenderness over both

shoulder joints. I advised for X-ray but she did not get the X-ray done.

5. After examination I have issued the wound Certificate which bears my signature and seal which is now marked as **Ex.P.6** and the signature is marked as **Ex.P-6(a)**.
6. In my opinion the injuries noted are simple and fresh in nature and the same could be caused if a person is assaulted using MO.4 Stone and MO.5 Wooden club as alleged in the history.
7. On the same day at 5.10 PM I have examined a patient by name Vijayakumar, aged 32 years, who was brought by his relative Sathishkumar with a history of assault.
8. The patient himself gave me the history that on 13.01.2017 at around 2.15 PM he was assaulted by Nagaraj, Vinay, Gowramma, Vidyakumari, Dooddathayamma, Shekara by using wooden sticks and manhandling near his Village at the agricultural field at Tandanayakanadoddi, Basaralu.
9. On examination I found an abrasion over the left perital region measuring 1 x 1 CM and tenderness over both knee joints. I advised for CT Scan for Brain and the same was found normal.
10. After examination I have issued the wound Certificate which bears my signature and seal which is now marked as **Ex.P.7** and the signature is marked as **Ex.P-7(a)**.
11. In my opinion the injuries noted are simple and fresh in nature and the same could be caused if a person is

assaulted using MO.3 Machete and MO.5 Wooden club as alleged in the history.

12. On 14.01.2017 at 9.30 AM I have examined a patient by name Mariyamma, aged 68 years, who was brought by her relative Sathishkumar with a history of assault.
13. The patient herself gave me the history that on 13.01.2017 at around 7.00 AM she was assaulted by Nagaraj, Vinay, Vidyakumari, by using stone sharp metal object i.e., machete and by manhandling her near her land at Tandanayakanadoddi, Basaralu.
14. On examination I found an abrasion over the left side of chin measuring 2 x 2 CM with minimal swelling present. Tenderness present over right hand and tenderness present over chest region and left foot. I advised for X-ray and the same shows no fracture.
15. After examination I have issued the wound Certificate which bears my signature and seal which is now marked as **Ex.P.8** and the signature is marked as **Ex.P-8(a)**.
16. In my opinion the injuries noted are simple in nature and the same could be caused if a person is assaulted using MO.1 Machete and MO.2 Stone and by manhandling as alleged in the history.
17. In Ex.P.8 Wound Certificate I have noted the date of examination as 13.01.2017 which is a mistake by oversight and the date of examination is 14.01.2017 which is already mentioned in the top of the Wound Certificate.

**18.** Later, on 27.09.2018 the Investigating Officer produced MO.3 Machete with a requisition seeking my opinion whether the injury No.1 noted in Ex.P.7 could be caused with the said weapon. The said requisition is now shown to me and the same is marked as Ex.P.9 and the signature is marked as Ex.P.9(a). After examining MO.3 I have given opinion that the said injury could be caused with MO.3 and my opinion is now shown to me is marked as Ex.P. 10 and the signature is marked as **Ex.P.10(a)**.

**Cross – examination: Sri KMR Adv. for Accused:**

19. The patient Mariyamma came to the hospital on 14.01.2017 at 9.30 AM. The same has been noted in the MLC register maintained in the hospital. In Ex.P. 8 the date of examination is noted as 13.01.2017 at 9.30AM. In Ex.P.8 the time of examination is found over written. There is no endorsement with respect to the said overwriting in Ex.P. 8. She had given the history that she was assaulted at 7.00 AM on 13.01.2017. It is true to suggest that if a person loses balance and slips and falls on the ground the injuries noted could be caused. Later I have obtained the report of Orthopedician. I have not mentioned the name of the Doctor who had conducted Orthopedic examination of the patient.

20. Amongst the patients Vijayakumar and Anusuya, the injuries of Vijayakumar was much severe compared to that of Anusuya. At the time of examination the patient Vijaykumar was conscious. It is true to suggest that if a

person is hit hard with MO.3 Machete the chances of damages to nerve and severe injury are there. I did not find any grievous wound on Vijayakumar. It is true to suggest that, the injuries noted in Ex.P. 7 could be caused if a person falls from a running Motor bike.

21. When I examined the patients the Police did not take photos of the patients. It is true to suggest that if a person falls on a hard surface the injury noted in Ex.P. 6 Wound Certificate could be caused. It is not true to suggest that I have issued false Wound Certificates as per the requests of Victims. It is not true to suggest that the Investigating Officer has obtained a false Wound Certificates from me. It is not true to suggest that based on the false documents I am deposing false before court as per the instructions of the Investigation Officer.

22. It is not true to suggest that Ex.P.10 is not in my handwriting. It is not true to suggest that I have given a false report as per the instructions of the Investigating Officer.

**Re-examination by Spl.Public Prosecutor – Nil.**

(Typed to my dictation in the Open court.)

Read over, interpreted and accepted correct

**(Manjula Itty)**  
**C/c.V-Addl. District & Sessions Judge,**  
**Mandya.**