



**IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE MANDYA**

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF JUNE 2026

-: PRESENT:-

SHRI. YADAVA K.,^{BAL., L.L.B.,}
I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, MANDYA

Crl.Misc.No.570/2026

Petitioner/
Accused

:Prakash V.L.,
D/o Lakshmaiah,
Aged about 36 years,
Residing at:
Valagerehalli Village,
Kasaba Hobli,
Maddur Taluk,
Mandya District.
[By : SPS- Advocate]

-V/S -

Respondent : The State by Maddur
Police Station.

[By : Pubic Prosecutor]

**:-ORDER ON BAIL PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER/
ACCUSED UNDER SECTION 482 OF BHARATHIYA
NAGARIK SURAKSHA SANHITA, 2023:-**

The present petition is filed under section 482 of
Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking



anticipatory bail in Crime No.205/2026 registered by the respondent Maddur police station for the offences punishable under sections 352, 118(1), 351(2) of BNS.

2. The present petitioner is accused in the above said crime. Crime registered against the accused based on the first information dated 14.06.2026 given by Mahesh V.N. As per the first information the allegation against the accused/petitioner is that on 13.06.2026 evening at 6-00p.m. the first informant and his friends V.R.Ramesh, V.K.Krishna were talking near Valagerehalli Mahila Mandali. At that time the accused came to said place and all of a sudden started to abuse the first informant with filthy language. He threatened him that will kill the first informant today itself. After that all of a sudden he brought a Machchatte from his bike and assaulted first informant. When his friend Ramesh made attempt to rescue the first informant, the accused made blow with Machchatte and the Ramesh sustained injury to his left hand index finger. When the V.K.Krishna and V.C. Kumara tried to hold the accused, the accused went away from the place. After that the Ramesh admitted to Government Hospital, Maddur. While leaving place the accused threatened the first informant that he will kill him.



Based on the information crime registered. Now investigation is in process.

3. The present petition filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail in the crime. It is his contention that he is innocent of the offences alleged against him and he has not committed any offence. He is permanent resident of Valagerehalli village, Maddur Taluk. He is having deep root in the Society and he undertakes to abide by the conditions imposed by the Court. He contended that Ramesh sustained a small injury to his left hand index finger. There is no material against him for the offence punishable under 118(1) BNS and false case registered against him and pray to allow this petition.

4. The petition is opposed by learned Public prosecutor by filing statement of objection with I.O. report. It is contended that the offence alleged against the accused is punishable under section 118(1) of BNS. He committed serious offence. Hence, he not entitles to bail at this stage as investigation not completed and prays to reject the petition.

5. I have heard the parties and perused the materials on record. The points that arise for my consideration is:-



1] Whether petitioner/accused has made grounds to grant anticipatory bail under section 482 of Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in Crime No.205/2026 registered by the respondent Maddur Police Station for the offenses punishable under section 352, 118(1), 351(2) of BNS,?

2] What order, ?

6. My answer to the above said point which as under.

1. Point No.1 : In the Affirmative;
2. Point No.2 : As per final order for the following:-

-.REASONS:-

7. **POINT NO.1:-** In the case on hand the allegation that accused used Machchatte. The said use of Machchatte is to be proved in the trial. Otherwise the case of prosecution that the friend of first informant by name Ramesh sustained injury to his left hand index finger. Except injury to index finger no other allegation is made that accused assaulted any other vital part of the body. The wound certificate shows that Ramesh sustained injury to index finger of left hand. Though there allegation that accused committed offence under section 118(1) of BNS but same is to be proved during



trial because there is no strong material to support that allegation.

8. In the case first informant, Ramesh and V.K.Krishna are eyewitnesses to the incident. The witnesses are friends to each other and they are eyewitnesses to the incident as could be seen from the FIR. There is no chance of tamper the prosecution witnesses by the accused though he enlarged on bail before conclusion of investigation. The Investigation Officer is not required to detect any information from the petitioner by keeping him in the prison because the first informant and his friends are eyewitnesses to the incident. They narrated how incident occurred in the first information. The nature of allegation shows that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary. The offence alleged is not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. Under these circumstances, I am of the view that the petitioner made sufficient grounds to grant anticipatory bail though investigation is not completed. In the case on hand the overall circumstances shows that Ramesh sustained a small injury to index finger. The intention of the accused to assault the Ramesh it to be proved in the trial. At present there is no strong ground to



reject the bail petition though same is for anticipatory bail. Hence, I answer Point No.1 in the Affirmative.

9. **POINT NO.2:-** In view of my finding on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:-

:-ORDER:-

The bail petition in Crl.Misc.No.570/2026 filed by the petitioner under section 482 of Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is herewith allowed. The petitioner is granted anticipatory bail in Crime No.205/2026 registered by the respondent Maddur Rural Police Station for the offenses punishable under section 352, 118(1), 351(2) of BNS. In the event of arrest of the petitioner/Accused in the above said crime the arresting authority is directed to release him on bail on the following conditions.

1. The petitioner/Accused shall execute personal bond for sum of Rs.2,00,000/- with two solvent sureties for like sum.
2. The petitioner /Accused shall appear before the Jurisdictional Magistrate within 15 days from the date of this order for the purpose of execution of bail bond and sureties.
3. The petitioner/Accused shall make him available for interrogation by the Investigating Officer as and when required.



4. The petitioner/Accused shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
5. The petitioner/Accused and his sureties shall furnish permanent and present address proofs, both residential and official if any.
6. The petitioner/Accused and his sureties to intimate the concerned court as well as the concerned police regarding any changes in address with proof, in the form of affidavit. *
7. The petitioner/Accused shall not indulge in any criminal activities.
8. The petitioner/Accused shall not threaten prosecution witnesses and tamper prosecution witnesses.
9. The petitioner/Accused shall appear before the IO on every third Sunday of every month for a period of 3 months or till filing final report, whichever is earlier and thereafter before the concerned court on all hearing dates unless their presence is dispensed by the Court.
10. If any of the above conditions are violated by the petitioner/Accused bail granted now shall stand canceled without further order from the court.

*(Dictated to the stenographer -I, same is corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the **29th day of June, 2026**)*

(YADAVA K)
I Additional District & Sessions
Judge, Mandya.

KAMD010027902026



8

Crl.Misc.No.570/2026

Kky.29/06/26