



**IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE, AT MANDYA.**

Dated this the 27th day of June 2026.

PRESENT

Sri Hattikal Prabhu S., M.A., LL.M.
*II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mandya.*

Crl.Misc.No.560/2026

- Petitioners** : 1. Sri Sandeep J.S., **A1**
*S/o Jagannatha M.S.,
Aged about 44 years.*
2. Smt. Suvarna, **A2**
*W/o Jagannatha M.S.,
Aged about 72 years.*
3. Sri M.S. Jagannatha, **A3**
*S/o Late Sannegowda,
Aged about 73 years.*
4. Smt. Sahana J.S., **A4**
*W/o Somashekhar,
Aged about 46 years.*

*P1 to 4 are R/at 3rd Cross,
Ramamandira Street,
Chikkegowdanadoddi,
Mandya District.*

5. Smt. Savitha, **A5**
W/o Ravikumar,
Aged about 56 years,
R/o No.144, Gargeshwari,
Hosakote, Mysuru.

6. Sri Pavan K.R., **A6**
S/o Ravikumar,
Aged about 39 years,
R/o Old Kempaiahnahundi Village,
Kasaba Hobli,
T.Narasipura Taluk,
Mysuru District.

(By Sri R. Jagannatha, Advocate)

- Vs -

Respondent : State by Women Police, Mandya.
(By learned Public Prosecutor, Mandya)

* * *

ORDERS ON BAIL APPLICATION U/S.482 OF BNSS

The petitioners/accused no.1 to 6 in Cr.No.34/2026 of Mandya Women Police Station filed this bail petition under Section 482 of BNSS seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The alleged offences are punishable under Sections 85, 115(2), 351(2), 352, 54 r/w Section 190 of BNS.

3. The petitioners contended that, they are innocent and not committed any offences and false crime is registered against them. Further contended that, they are ready to abide all the conditions.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor filed detailed objection and opposed the bail petition.

5. Heard both sides.

6. Now the points that would arise for determination of the court is :

1. Whether the petitioners / accused no.1 to 6 made out sufficient grounds to grant anticipatory bail as sought ?

2. What Order ?

7. My finding on the above points are as follows:

Point No.1 : In the **Affirmative**.

Point No.2 : As per final order
- for the following:

REASONS

8. **Point No.1** : Along with bail petition copy of FIR and FIS are produced.

9. The alleged offences are punishable under Sections 85, 115(2), 351(2), 352, 54 r/w Section 190 of BNS. Accused no.1 is the husband of informant of crime and accused no.2 to 6 are her relatives. Accused no.1 to 6 are the petitioner no.1 to 6 in this petition. It is domestic dispute. The alleged offences are not punishable with imprisonment for a period of 10 years or life imprisonment or death imprisonment. The directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **AIR 2014 SC 2756 (Arnesh Kumar Vs State of Bihar and another)** are applicable to the present case on hand. If the accused granted anticipatory bail with conditions, there are no chances of threatening the prosecution witnesses or absconding of the accused persons.

10. After going through the entire facts and circumstances of the case, after considering the arguments submitted from both sides, this court do not find reasons to reject the bail. With these observations this court hold that, the accused persons made out good and sufficient grounds to grant anticipatory bail as sought in order to meet the ends of justice. Accordingly, I answer the above point no.1 in the **Affirmative**.

11. **Point No.2 :** In view of holding Point No.1 in affirmative, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the petitioners/accused no.1 to 6 under Section 482 of BNSS is hereby allowed.

The respondent-police are hereby directed to release the petitioners/accused no.1 to 6 on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in Cr.No.34/2026 of Mandya Women Police Station for the offences punishable under Sections 85, 115(2), 351(2), 352, 54 r/w Section 190 of BNS on their executing personal bond for a sum of ₹.50,000/- each with a surety for the like-sum, subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. The petitioners shall execute personal bond for sum of ₹.50,000/- each with a surety before committal Court and trial Court on their appearance and shall appear before trial court regularly without fail and shall co-operate with trial court to conduct trial expeditiously.*

2. *They shall not threaten, tamper or intimidate the prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly.*
3. *They shall not indulge in criminal activity.*

*(Typed to my dictation by Stenographer-III directly on computer, corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the Open Court, on this the **27th day of June, 2026**)*

(Hattikal Prabhu S.)
*II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mandya*