



**IN THE COURT OF THE IV ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE MANDYA**

DATED THIS THE 02nd DAY OF APRIL 2026

-: PRESENT:-

**SHRI. YADAVA K.,BAL., L.L.B.,
IV ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, MANDYA**

CrI.Mis.254/2026

Petitioner/ : Syed Ruman, S/o Syed Khadar,
Accused Aged about 28 years,
R/at #1st cross, Guthalu Road,
Sadath Nagar, Guthal, Mandya District.

[By : SJS - Advocate]

- V/S -

Respondent: The State by Mandya Central Police Station.

[By : Pubic Prosecutor]

**-:ORDER ON BAIL PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER UNDER
SECTION 482 OF BHARATHIYA NAGARIK SURAKSHA SANHITA,
2023:-**

The present petition is filed by the Petitioner under section 482 of Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking anticipatory bail in Crime No.35/2026 registered by the respondent Mandya Central Police station for the offences punishable under section 126 (2), 115 (2),



117 (2), 74, 352, 351 (2) of BNS.

2. The present petitioner is Accused in the above said crime and crime registered against him based on first information dated 12.03.2026 given by Zameer Khan. As per first information, the allegation against the petitioner is that, first informant is residing with his sister Nazia at Beedi Colony, Chikkamandya, Mandya City. His brother Firoz also residing near their house. Fazar Banu is wife of his brother Firoz. Ayesha is younger sister of said Fazar Banu and Ayesha Sultana is known to first informant since childhood. Said Ayesha is given in marriage to Accused, a resident of Sultan Block, Mandya. The said Accused Ruman is doubting the character of his wife Ayesha and he suspecting the first informant also. On 12.03.2026, Ayesha Sultana came to her parents house at Beedi Colony and on the same day morning at about 5:30 a.m. first informant while going to Masjid to offer Namaz, the Accused obstructed him and threatened him. He assaulted first informant and abused him that first informant is having relationship with petitioner's wife Ayesha Sultana. He pulled down him and kicked first informant and broken his teeth. When Ayesha Sultana came out from the house, he also assaulted her and abused her with filthy language. He abused first informant and Ayesha Sultana alleging that, they are having relationship each other. When first informant's mother Zareena made attempt to rescue first informant, Accused Ruman assaulted her. The first informant took treatment at Mandya District Hospital with the assistance of his friend Suhel. Based on said



information, crime registered against Accused and investigation is pending.

3. The petitioner filed present petition seeking anticipatory bail. It is contended that he is innocent of the offences alleged against him. There are personal enmity between first informant and Accused due to misunderstanding. Hence, he falsely implicated in the case. He is permanent resident of address shown in the cause title of the petition and undertakes to abide by the conditions imposed by the court. With the above grounds, he prays to grant bail.

4. The petition is opposed by the learned Public prosecutor by filing statement of objection. It is contended that, Accused seriously assaulted first informant and Ayesha Sultala. Investigation of the matter is not completed and at this stage, his custody is necessary. Hence, he is not entitled to anticipatory bail and prays to reject the petition.

5. I have heard the parties and perused the materials on record. The points that arise for my consideration is:-

1] Whether Petitioner has made grounds to grant anticipatory bail under section 482 of *Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023*, in Crime No.35/2026 registered by the respondent Mandya Central Police Station for the offenses punishable under section 126 (2), 115 (2), 117 (2), 74, 352, 351 (2) of BNS, ?



2] What order, ?

6. My answer to the above said point which as under.

1. Point No.1 : In the Affirmative;
2. Point No.2 : As per final order for the following:-

-:REASONS:-

7. **POINT NO.1:-** In the case on hand, the offences alleged are punishable under section 126 (2), 115 (2), 117 (2), 74, 352, 351 (2) of BNS. The alleged offences are not punishable with death or imprisonment for life. From the nature of allegation and from the seriousness of the alleged offence is concerned, though investigation is not completed, the petitioner is entitled to bail.

8. In the case, the first informant; wife of Accused are eye witnesses to the incident. The mother of first informant also eye witness as could be seen from the first information. In this matter, there is no chance to tamper the prosecution witnesses. Because they are victims in the matter. Case is not depending on any third party independent witnesses and prosecution is not depending on third party eye witnesses. Under these circumstances, there is no question to tamper the prosecution witnesses.



9. In this matter, how crime committed and who involved in the same is specifically stated in the first information. The investigation officer is not required to detect information from the petitioner to complete the investigation. The nature of allegation and how it occurred is already stated in the first information and investigation officer is not required information to prove the guilt of the said Accused. The petitioner is named Accused. Hence, his custody for the purpose of getting information is not necessary.

10. Perusing prosecution material I am of the opinion that, investigation officer though required to collect the evidence against the Accused, but how alleged crime occurred is concerned, there is specific allegation. In this matter, there is no hidden allegation and the first information itself is sufficient to decide how crime occurred. The involvement of the petitioner is specifically stated in the first information. The investigation officer report shows that, substantial portion of investigation completed. Though investigation is not completed, the petitioner made grounds to enlarge him on bail from the facts alleged offences and from the circumstances. This is a fit case to grant bail to the petitioner. Hence, I answer Point No.1 in Affirmative.

11. **POINT NO.2:-** In view of my finding on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:-



:-ORDER:-

The bail petition in CrI.Misc.No.254/2026 filed by the Petitioner under section 482 of *Bharathiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023* is herewith allowed. The Petitioner is granted anticipatory bail in Crime No.35/2026 registered by the respondent Mandya Central Police Station for the offenses punishable under section 126 (2), 115 (2), 117 (2), 74, 352, 351 (2) of BNS. In the event of arrest of the Petitioner in the above said crime the arresting authority is directed to release him on bail on the following conditions.

1. The Petitioner shall execute personal bond for sum of Rs.2,00,000/- with two solvent sureties for like sum.
2. The Petitioner shall present before the jurisdictional Magistrate within 15 days from today to execute bond and to offer surety.
3. The Petitioner shall attend before investigation officer as and when directed for the purpose of investigation.
4. The Petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
5. The Petitioner and his sureties shall furnish permanent and present address proofs, both residential and official if any.
6. The Petitioner and his sureties to intimate the



concerned court as well as the concerned police regarding any changes in address with proof, in the form of affidavit.

7. The Petitioner shall not indulge in any criminal activities.

8. The Petitioner shall not threaten prosecution witnesses and tamper prosecution witnesses.

9. The Petitioner shall appear before investigation officer every third Sunday of every month for a period of three months or till filing final report whichever is earlier and thereafter before the concerned court on all hearing dates unless his presence is dispensed by the Court.

10. If any of the above conditions are violated by the Petitioner the bail granted now shall stand canceled without further order from the court.

(Dictated to the stenographer, same is corrected, signed and then pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 2nd day of April 2026)

(YADAVA K)
IV Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Mandya