

[C.R.P.67] – Form No.4(Civil) Deposition Sheets (R.P.No.57)

IN THE COURT OF THE
I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KOPPAL
(SITTING AT GANGAVATHI)

M.V.C. No.431/2024

Duly sworn on: 15.12.2025

Deposition of : Haralayya
Father : Durugappa Mandammanavar
Age : 45 Years
Occupation : Agriculturist
Residence : Yerebeleri of Ron Taluk

Examination in chief by: Counsel for Petitioner:

1. I am the witness in this case. I have filed affidavit in lieu of my examination in chief. I have signed the affidavit after reading the contents and they are true and correct.

Cross-Examination by Sri SNM adv for Respondent No.3:

2. I am the resident of Yerebeleri of Ron Taluk. The accident has happened on 15.03.2023 in between Abbigeri to Ron road at about 07.30 to 08.00 pm. The accident has happened between Tipper vehicle and the Motorcycle. One Veerappa of Kuradagi village has met with an accident. Veerappa was riding the TVS Victor Motorcycle. He was alone in the TVS Victor Motorcycle. Veerappa is the owner of the TVS Victor Motorcycle. I do not remember the registration number of the TVS Victor Motorcycle. Veerappa

was on the way to Kuradagi from Ron. The accident has happened at 2-3 km distance from Ron city limits. It is true to suggest that, it was a dark at the time of the accident. Witness volunteers that, there was a street light at the spot. The Tipper which was coming from the opposite side of the Motorcycle has caused accident to Veerappa. I was on the way to Yerebeleri from Ron at the time of the accident. It is true to suggest that, I was at behind the Motorcycle of Veerappa. I was at distance of 10-15 feet from the Motorcycle of Veerappa. It is false to suggest that, I was at distance of 50-60 feet from the Motorcycle of Veerappa at the time of the accident. It is true to suggest that, the head lights of the Motorcycle and Tipper were on at the time of the accident. It is false to suggest that, due to focus of head light of the Tipper, I could not see the accident. It is false to suggest that, I have not seen the accident. I do not remember registration number of the Tipper. I do not remember the name of the owner of the Tipper. One Manjappa is the driver of the Tipper. It is true to suggest that, I have not seen Manjappa at the time of the accident. I have seen Manjappa after seizure of Tipper. 2-3 persons had gathered at the spot. Mallayya, Erappa Ramannanavar and Tayappa came to the spot. It is false to suggest that, the driver of the Tipper was driving the Tipper slowly at left side of the road at the time of the accident by following traffic rules. It is false to suggest that, Veerappa did not know how to ride the Motorcycle. It is false to suggest that, Veerappa was riding the Motorcycle in a zigzag manner. It is false to suggest that, the accident has happened due to

negligence by Veerappa. It is false to suggest that, though I was not present at the time of the accident, I am deposing falsely before the Court. It is false to suggest that, Police have not recorded my statement. It is false to suggest that, I have not seen Manjappa even at later stage. It is false to suggest that, I have filed false affidavit and deposing falsely before the Court.

Cross-Examination by Sri DRK adv for Respondent No.1 and 2:

No representation for Respondent No.1 and 2. Hence, cross-examination is taken as nil.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Sadananda Nagappa Naik)
I Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Koppal (Sitting at Gangavathi).