

Government of Karnataka  
[C.R.P.67] - Form No.4(Civil) Deposition Sheets (R.P.No.57)

IN THE COURT OF THE  
**ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KOPPAL**  
**(SITTING AT GANGAVATHI)**

**M.V.C. No.202/2023**

Duly sworn on: 04.07.2024

**Further Examination in chief by: Counsel for**  
**Petitioner:**

I am producing the following documents:

|          |                                                                 |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ex.P.-10 | True copy of the MVA Report                                     |
| Ex.P.-11 | True copy of the Charge-sheet                                   |
| Ex.P.-12 | Notarized copy of Rice Card (Original is verified and returned) |

**Cross-Examination by Sri MPG adv for Respondent**  
**No.1 and 2:**

I do not know information on how the death has happened. The accident has happened at Karatagi. Later, I have seen the spot of the accident. The accident has happened on 03.10.2022. My first Son was on the way to Sindhanur from Karatagi in his Motorcycle. I do not know the registration number of Motorcycle. My Son was riding the Motorcycle at the time of the accident. One Subramanya was the pillion rider of the Motorcycle. The KSRTC Bus has caused accident from the hind side of the Motorcycle. I do not know the starting location and destination of the Bus. I do not know the registration

number of the Bus. One Mahadeva was the Driver of the Bus. I do not know the name of the Bus Conductor. It is false to suggest that, my Son was addicted to Alcohol. My Son had valid Driving Licence at the time of the accident. I do not know whether Driving Licence is produced before the Court. I have seen the Driving Licence. I do not know from which RTO Office, the Driving Licence is obtained. It is false to suggest that, my Son did not have Driving Licence and he did not know to ride the Motorcycle. It is false to suggest that, my Son himself rode the Motorcycle in rash and negligent manner and caused accident to the Bus. It is false to suggest that, the accident has happened due to negligence of my Son and KSRTC is not liable to pay the compensation. It is false to suggest that, the Bus was moving slowly on the road with due care and caution by the driver of the Bus. It is false to suggest that, as there was a curve, the Bus was moving slowly at the time of the accident. Witness volunteers that, his Son was riding his Motorcycle on the left side of the road and the Bus driver has caused the accident. The accident has happened around 02.30 pm.

I am the resident of Tada Pallem Taluk, West Godavari (Bhimavaram) District, Andhrapradesh state. My Son had come to Karatagi for paint and design work around 3 years ago, prior to the accident. He was residing at Karatagi. He was working under one Harish Civil Engineer. My Son had studied upto PUC. My Son has not studied any Diploma. It is

false to suggest that, My Son was not involved in any painting and design work. Witness volunteers that, his Son had learned the painting and design work. It is false to suggest that, only people with Educational Qualification will be taken for such work. I have not produced documents to show that, my Son was earning Rs.40,000/- per month. I do not know whether my Son was filing any Income Tax Returns. I have not filed the any income tax returns. It is false to suggest that, my Son was not earning Rs.40,000/- per month.

I have not produced any documents on expenses of Rs.1,00,000/- towards funeral expenses. My second Son has filed a complaint on the next day of the accident. It is false to suggest that, myself and my second Son filed a false complaint. I have given instructions to my Affidavit. It is false to suggest that, I have filed a false Affidavit before the Court. It is false to suggest that, I have created the documents and produced before the Court.

My Son was aged 27 years at the time of the accident. It is false to suggest that, my Son was aged 35 years at the time of the accident. My second Son is aged 26 years. My daughter is married. It is false to suggest that, Petitioner No.3 and 4 are not dependents of deceased Satish Kumar. It is false to suggest that, my claim petition is time barred. It is false to suggest that, the present claim petition is not maintainable, as I have not made owner and Insurance

company of Motorcycle as parties to the present claim petition. It is false to suggest that, KSRTC is not liable to pay the compensation. It is false to suggest that, I have filed false affidavit and deposing falsely before the Court.

**Re-examination:** Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Sadananda Nagappa Naik)  
Addl. District and Sessions Judge,  
Koppal (Sitting at Gangavathi).