

IN THE COURT OF THE
I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KOPPAL
(SITTING AT GANGAVATHI)

S.C. No.6/2025

Duly sworn on: 07.04.2026

Deposition of : Prakash
Father : Mallikarjun
Age : 26 Years
Occupation : Agriculture
Residence : Adavibavi of Kanakagiri Taluk

Examination in Chief by Learned Public Prosecutor:

1. CW1 is my cousin sister. CW4 and 6 are my uncle and aunt. CW7 is my cousin brother. I know the Accused persons. They are from my village. The Accused No.1 has not threatened CW1. The Accused No.1 has not committed rape on CW1. The Accused No.1 has not given life threat to CW1. CW1 has not stated anything before me and CW4 to 7. The Accused No.1 has not come to the house of CW1. The Accused No.1 has not taken any selfie photo with CW1. The Accused No.1 has not asked CW1 to come along with him by showing selfie photos. The Accused No.1 has not broken marriage engagement of CW1 by showing the selfie photos. The Accused No.2 to 6 have not instigated Accused No.1 for commission of any offences. I have not seen any incident. CW1 and 4 to 6 have not informed anything to me. Police have not enquired me and I have not given any statement to them.

(At this stage, Public Prosecutor prays for permission to treat this witness hostile. Permission granted.)

Cross-Examination by Learned Public Prosecutor:

2. It is false to suggest that, the Accused No.1 is my neighbor. It is false to suggest that, the Accused No.1 often used to come to the house of CW1. It is false to suggest that, when CW1 was alone in the house of CW1, the Accused No.1 had taken selfie photos with CW1. It is false to suggest that, since 2 years, the Accused No.1 had informed CW1 that he is loving her. It is false to suggest that, CW1 had informed the Accused No.1 not to say so as he is already married. It is false to suggest that, CW1 has informed the Accused No.1 not to come to the house of CW1 as he is already married.

3. It is false to suggest that, on 10.08.2024, at about 09.00 am, when I and CW4 and 6 had gone to field for work and when CW1 was alone in the house of CW1, at about 01.00 pm, the Accused No.1 came to the house of CW1, shown selfie photos which are taken with CW1 and threatened CW1 by stating that, he will show the photos to the person with whom CW1 has got engaged and he will cancel the marriage. It is false to suggest that, when CW1 did not agree for it, the Accused No.1 has tied the hands of CW1 and by showing Knife to CW1, he has committed rape on CW1. It is false to suggest that, when we came to the house of CW1, at about 02.00 pm, by hiding the information of rape committed by Accused No.1 on CW1, she has

informed me and CW4 and 6 about visit of Accused No.1 to the house of CW1 only. It is false to suggest that, when we are informed the visit and force of Accused No.1 to Accused No.2 to 6, the Accused No.2 to 6 instigated Accused No.1 by stating that, “ನಮ್ಮ ಬಸವರಾಜ ಗಂಡು ಮಗ ಅವನು ಏನು ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾನೆ, ಎಷ್ಟು ಹುಡುಗಿಯರನ್ನಾಡರೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾನೆ”. It is false to suggest that, the Accused No.1 has shown the selfie photos taken with CW1 to the boy with whom she has got engaged and broken the marriage.

4. It is false to suggest that, on 30.08.2024, at about 10.30 am, when I and CW7 were on the way to our land, we have heard screaming sound of CW1 and saw Accused No.1 running away from the field of CW4. It is false to suggest that, later, CW1, 4 to 6 informed me that, CW1 had gone to their field for harvesting, when CW1 had been to the nature call, the Accused No.1 came there and forced CW1 to sleep with him by stating that, nobody can see the place. It is false to suggest that, when CW1 did not agree for it, the Accused No.1 has dumped veil of CW1 in her mouth and attempted to commit rape on her. It is false to suggest that, at that time, CW1 got screamed. It is false to suggest that, I and CW4 to 7 came there. It is false to suggest that, on 30.08.2024, CW1 has informed the incident of rape committed by Accused No.1 on her on 10.08.2024 to me and CW4 to 7. It is false to suggest that, 04.09.2024, Police have enquired me and I have given statement to them by

stating that, “ಸದರಿ ನಿಂಗಪ್ಪನ ಮಗಳಾದ----- ನನ್ನ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.” The statement is marked as Ex.P.-11. It is false to suggest that, though I have given statement to the Police as per Ex.P.-11, I am deposing falsely before the Court in order to help the accused persons.

Cross-Examination by Sri BNH, Advocate for Accused persons:

Counsel for Accused persons submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Sadananda Nagappa Naik)
I Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Koppal (Sitting at Gangavathi).