

IN THE COURT OF THE
I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KOPPAL
(SITTING AT GANGAVATHI)

S.C. No.37/2024

Duly sworn on: 10.11.2025

Deposition of : Rajappa
Father : Ramanna
Age : 34 Years
Occupation : Vendor of the plastic items
Residence : Gundammacamp of Gangavathi

Examination in Chief by Learned Public Prosecutor:

1. I do not know CW5. Police have not called me anywhere. Police have not conducted any mahazar in my presence. Witness identifies his signature on mahazar. The mahazar is marked as Ex.P.-3. The signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P.-3(a). When I was at my home, the Police took my signature on Ex.P.-3. Police have not taken me to any place. I do not know the contents of Ex.P.-3. Police have not seized any material objects in my presence. I cannot identify M.O.-1 and 2. Two sealed cloths covers are opened in the open Court with permission of the Court. Witness submits that, he cannot identify the sample soil and the blood stained soil. The same are marked as M.O.-1 and 2 for identification purpose. The signatures on the chits affixed on 2 cloth covers of M.O.-1 and 2 do not belongs to me. I cannot identify signatures on the chits affixed on the M.O.-1 and 2. I have no information on M.O.-1, 2, 6 and 7 as to

which matter, the same are belonged. I do not know where the said material objects are seized. I am not acquainted with the CW1.

(At this stage, Public Prosecutor prays for permission to treat this witness hostile. Permission granted.)

Cross-Examination by Learned Public Prosecutor:

2. It is false to suggest that, on 21.05.2018, at about 07.15 am, Police had called me to the house of CW1 for the purpose of panchanama. It is false to suggest that, CW1 has shown the spot. It is false to suggest that, Police have seized M.O.-1, 2, 6 and 7 in my presence. It is false to suggest that, the signatures are on the chits affixed on the M.O.-1 and 2 and the chits affixed on the cloth covers of M.O.-6 and 7 belongs to me. It is false to suggest that, CW5 was also present along with me at the time of spot cum seizure mahazar. It is false to suggest that, Police have conducted panchanama from 07.15 am to 08.15 am. It is false to suggest that, Police have obtained my signatures on the panchanama at the spot. It is false to suggest that, though I have participated in the panchanama, I am deposing falsely before the Court in order to help the accused persons.

Cross-Examination by Sri BNH, Advocate for Accused No.1, 2, 4, 6 to 9:

Counsel for Accused No.1, 2, 4, 6 to 9 submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Cross-Examination by Sri IG, Advocate for Accused**No.5:**

Counsel for Accused No.5 submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Sadananda Nagappa Naik)
I Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Koppal (Sitting at Gangavathi).