

IN THE COURT OF THE
ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KOPPAL
(SITTING AT GANGAVATHI)

S.C. No.11/2022

Duly sworn on: 17.10.2023

Deposition of : Jagadeesh
Father Name : Channabasappa
Age : 35 Years
Occupation : Driver
Residence : Nidigol, Sindhanur Taluk.

Examination in Chief by Learned Public Prosecutor:

1. I do not know CW5. Around 2 years ago, when I had been to Sindhanur Government Hospital, at that time, Police called me near the body at Mortuary. Upon seeing the dead body, I got afraid and came back. I have not completely observed the dead body. Police took my signature on Inquest mahazar. Witness identifies his signature on the Inquest mahazar. The same is marked as Ex.P.-8 and signature of witness is marked as Ex.P.-8(a). Witness identifies himself in one photograph beside the dead body. Photograph is marked as Ex.P.-9. Witness identifies dead body in photograph. Photograph is marked as Ex.P.-10. I have not observed injuries on the dead body. I do not know the time when I visited the Mortuary. I cannot identify M.O.-1 to 8.

(At this stage Public Prosecutor prays for permission to treat this witness hostile. Permission granted.)

Cross-Examination by Learned Public Prosecutor:

2. It is false to suggest that, on 24.10.2020, at about 04.00 pm, Police have called me to Mortuary at Sindhanur Government Hospital. It is false to suggest that, at that time, CW5 was also present at the time of Inquest mahazar. It is false to suggest that, the dead body was of a male boy aged around 10-12 years. It is false to suggest that, the hands and legs of deceased was found in tied condition. It is false to suggest that, I have found M.O.-1 to 8 on the dead of the deceased. It is false to suggest that, at the time of panchanama, I came to know that, the boy was murdered and thrown into Canal. It is false to suggest that, I have affixed my signature on Ex.P.-8 Inquest mahazar after read over the contents to me. It is false to suggest that, though I am aware about Inquest mahazar, I am deposing falsely in order to help the accused. Witness is shaking his body with fear at the witness box.

Cross-Examination by Sri KAR, Advocate for Accused No.1 and 3:

Counsel for accused No.1 and 3 submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Cross-Examination by Sri DAH, Advocate for Accused No.2:

Counsel for accused No.2 submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Sadananda Nagappa Naik)
Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Koppal (Sitting at Gangavathi).