

IN THE COURT OF THE
ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KOPPAL
(SITTING AT GANGAVATHI)

S.C. No.11/2022

Duly sworn on: 08.04.2025

Deposition of : Siddappa
Father : Fakeerappa
Age : 40 Years
Occupation : Drainage worker at Karatagi
Residence : Karatagi

Examination in Chief by Learned Public Prosecutor:

1. I do not know CW20. Police have not called me to any spot. When I was sitting on the deck of Anjaneyya Temple at Nazeer colony, the Police took me to the Police Station. Police asked me to affix my signature on some papers. Police have not taken me to any spot. Police have not conducted mahazar in my presence. Witness identifies the spot mahazar where the kidnapped took place. The same is marked as Ex.P.-26. Witness identifies his signature on Ex.P.-26 and the signature of witness is marked as Ex.P.-26(a). Witness identifies himself, CW12 in 2 Photographs. The same are marked as Ex.P.-27 and 28. I do not know rest of the persons in Ex.P.-27 and 28 Photographs. I do not know what the CW12 is showing in the said Photographs. I do not know what has happened in the said spot. The lady and the women in the Ex.P.-27 and 28 Photographs are from the same area.

(At this stage Public Prosecutor prays for permission to treat this witness hostile. Permission granted.)

Cross-Examination by Learned Public Prosecutor:

2. It is false to suggest that, on 29.10.2020, Sindhanur Police called myself and CW20 to the spot adjacent to drainage, near our lane, near the shop of CW18 for the purpose of panchanama and Police have conducted spot mahazar from 01.30 to 02.30 pm. It is false to suggest that, CW12, 16 and 18 have shown the spot by stating that, the son of CW12 and Accused No.3 has been kidnapped from the said spot. It is false to suggest that, Police have drawn spot mahazar at the spot as per Ex.P.-26. It is false to suggest that, Police have taken Photographs as per Ex.P.-27 and 28. It is false to suggest that, Sindhanur Police have prepared the draft sketch at the spot. It is false to suggest that, though I have participated in spot mahazar, as the Accused persons are from our lane, I am deposing falsely before the Court in order to help the accused persons.

Cross-Examination by Sri TM, Advocate, standing counsel for Accused No.1:

Counsel for Accused No.1 submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Cross-Examination by Sri AHD, Advocate for Accused No.2:

Counsel for Accused No.2 submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Cross-Examination by Sri KAR, Advocate for Accused**No.3:**

Counsel for Accused No.3 submits that, he has no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Sadananda Nagappa Naik)
Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Koppal (Sitting at Gangavathi).