

IN THE COURT OF THE  
**ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KOPPAL**  
**(SITTING AT GANGAVATHI)**

**S.C. No.11/2022**

Duly sworn on: 06.03.2024

Deposition of : Ambresh  
Father Name : Mukkanna  
Age : 35 Years  
Occupation : Agriculturist  
Residence : Javalagera, Sindhanur Taluk

**Examination in Chief by Learned Public Prosecutor:**

1. I know CW-6. CW-6 is my friend. Around 2-3 years ago, Sindhanur Police had called myself and CW-6 to the Police Station and took our signatures and photographs at the Police Station. Witness identifies his signature in the seizure mahazar. The seizure mahazar is already marked as Ex.P.-11 and Signature of witness is marked as Ex.P.-11(b). The photograph is already marked as Ex.P.-12. I have affixed my signature on seizure mahazar at the Police Station. I do not know why Police had called us to the Police Station and why they have taken photographs.

(At this stage Public Prosecutor prays for permission to treat this witness hostile. Permission granted.)

**Cross-Examination by Learned Public Prosecutor:**

2. It is false to suggest that, on 25.10.2020, Police called myself and CW-6 to the Police Station for the purpose of seizure mahazar. It is false to suggest that, Police have

conducted seizure mahazar from 10.00 to 10.45 am. It is false to suggest that, Police have seized M.O.-1 to 8 by conducting seizure mahazar as per Ex.P.-11. It is false to suggest that, at the same time, Police have taken Ex.P.-12 photograph. It is false to suggest that, I have affixed my signature on Ex.P.-11 after read over the contents to me. It is false to suggest that, though Police have seized M.O.-1 to 8 in my presence, I am deposing falsely in order to help the Accused persons.

**Cross-Examination by Sri KAR, Advocate for Accused No.1 and 3:**

3. It is true to suggest that, I do not know know the contents of Ex.P.-11. It is true to suggest that, Police have not written Ex.P.-11 seizure mahazar in my presence.

**Cross-Examination by Sri ADH, Advocate for Accused No.2:**

4. Counsel for Accused No.2 adopts the cross examination of Counsel for Accused No.1 and 3.

**Re-examination:** Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Sadananda Nagappa Naik)  
Addl. District and Sessions Judge,  
Koppal (Sitting at Gangavathi).