

31782 Kotak Mahindra Bank Vs. Rahul Sharma

Present: Sh. Dinesh Sharma, Adv. Counsel for the Complainant.
 Accused Rahul Sharma Proclaimed Person.

 Sh. Dinesh Sharma, Adv. Counsel for the complainant, suffered a statement to the effect that earlier evidence given in this case be read as evidence U/s 299 Cr.P.C and closed his evidence U/s 299 Cr.P.C. In view of the statement suffered by Ld. Counsel for complainant, preliminary evidence led by the complainant be treated as evidence under Section 299 of Cr.P.C.

 Ld. Counsel for Complainant, further stated that at present complainant has no knowledge regarding the property of accused. Complainant will move fresh application under Section 83 Cr.P.C. as and when any property in the name of accused is traced out. In view of the statement suffered by the complainant, present file be consigned to Record Room, Ludhiana and be revived as and when accused surrenders in court or is arrested by the police. Further complainant is at liberty to move fresh application under Section 83 Cr.P.C, as and when property in the name of accused is traced out.

 Divya Sharma
 JMIC/Ldh/20.11.2023
 UID No.PB-539

Simran kaur Grade III