

State of Punjab Vs Jimmi
CNR No: PBHOC10011642026
CIS No: BA/99/2026
Date of order: 31.07.2026

1

Present: Sh. Varun Walia, Advocate, counsel for
applicant/accused Jimmi
Sh. A.S. Kahlon, APP for the State.

By way of this order, this Court will decide an application for grant of bail filed on behalf of applicant/accused Jimmi. Notice of the same was given to the learned APP for the State and he orally opposed the application.

2. It is averred in the application that the applicant/accused was arrested by the police and is in judicial custody. It is further averred that the applicant/accused is innocent and he was falsely implicated in the present case. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the applicant/accused behind bars and nothing is to be recovered from the applicant/accused. As such, it is prayed that the application be accepted and the applicant/accused be released on bail.

4. This Court heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused and APP for the State and perused the main case file with their able assistance.

5. ASI Balbir Singh No. 1834/HPR, at present I/C PP Bhangala, PS Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur, appeared and made a statement as under:

“Stated that I have produced the police record in FIR No. 152 dated 04.08.2021 under Sections 380, 454, 411 of IPC, PS Mukerian. No other bail application of accused Jimmi is pending or decided by any other court in the present case. ”

6. The APP for the State appeared and made a statement as under:-

“As per the statement suffered by ASI Balbir Singh No.

State of Punjab Vs Jimmi
CNR No: PBHOC10011642026
CIS No: BA/99/2026
Date of order: 31.07.2026

2

1834/HPR, at present I/C PP Bhangala, PS Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur, no other bail application of accused Jimmi is pending or decided by any other court at this stage in the present case.”

7. The present case was registered against the applicant/accused on the allegations that on 31.07.2021 at about 02:00 PM in the area of Purana Bhangala, the applicant/accused and his co-accused entered into the house of complainant Shashi Bala by breaking open the locks of her house and committed theft of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) in cash and Gold jewellery without her consent. Furthermore, the applicant/accused and his co-accused retained the Gold ornaments belonging to the complainant knowing or having reason to believe the same to be stolen property.

8. A perusal of the case file would reveal that the applicant/accused was declared a proclaimed person in the present case vide order dated 05.07.2025 passed by this Court. Thereafter, the applicant/accused was produced in the Court 12.11.2025 and he was remanded to judicial custody. The applicant/accused is in judicial custody in the present case since 12.11.2025. In the considered opinion of this court, the applicant/accused must have learnt a sufficient lesson for misusing the concession of bail previously granted to him. The trial of the case is likely to take some time as the case is fixed for prosecution evidence. Detention of the applicant/accused is not required in the jail till the trial is completed. Moreover, it has been held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a number of cases that bail is the rule and jail is the exception. In light of these facts, the present application for

State of Punjab Vs Jimmi
CNR No: PBHOC10011642026
CIS No: BA/99/2026
Date of order: 31.07.2026

3

grant of bail is allowed and applicant/accused Jimmi is ordered to be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with one surety in the like amount. The applicant/accused will remain bound by the conditions stated in Section 480(3) BNSS.

9. Bail bonds not furnished. A copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent immediately for the information of the applicant/accused. Papers be tagged with the main file.

Pronounced in open Court:
Date of Order: 31.07.2026

Saurabh Sieno-II

(Ramandeep Neetu - PB0452)
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate
Mukerian.