

Witness present and duly sworn on 03.06.2022

Further Cross examination by Sri. NS Advocate for Defendant:-

I have not produced any documents to show that one Srirmareddy was Owner of property except RTC extract, witness voluntarily deposed that he produced partition deed and will deed. I do not know about on what basis the Khatha of property stands in the name of Sriramareddy. I am not produced any documents to show that on what basis katha of suit property has been changed in favour of Sriramareddy. I am not produced any documents to show that one Sriramareddy acquired property under inam abolition act. It is false to suggest that, myself, Ramegowda, Nanjegowda and Munivenkatappa are no right in possession of suit property at any point of time. It is false to suggested that exhibits P.147 to 160 are false and bogus documents. It is false to suggest that, exhibits P.21 to 23 are also created. It is false that all the RTC extracts are false. It is false that exhibits P.18 also false documents. It is false to suggest that suit property belongs to kamalamma and after her demised, she's Lr's became owner of property as per will. It is false that I am deposing false evidence to grab the property.

Re examination: Nil

(Typed to my dictation in the open court, corrected and then signed by me)

R. O. I & A. C

(Vinod Kumar.M)
I-Addl. Civil Judge & JMFC