

APGU130002152026



**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION),
NARASARAOPET.**

Present:- **Sri Kiran Kumar B**
Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division),
Narasaraopet.

Tuesday, this the 4th day of August, 2026

H.M.O.P.No. 142 / 2026

Between:-

1. Addepalli @ Yenduri Venkata Sai Mounika

w/o. Konda Shyam Siddharth D/o. Late Yenduri Sitaram Prasad Babu,
Aged 27 yrs., Hindu, House Wife, R/o.D.No. 24-1-100, Flat No. 202,
Dilshia Enclave-2, Sambamurthy Road, Opp. to Sub Station, Durgapuram,
VTC Vijayawada Urban.

2. Addepalli Konda Shyam Siddharth

s/o. Balaji Kumar, Aged 32 yrs., Hindu, ATC Officer, International Airport,
Tirupathi, R/o.D.No. 7-64, Peddabazar, Kanchecharla, Opp. to Old Post Office,
Krishna District, Vijayawada JCJC.

...Petitioners.

And

Nil.

...Respondent.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Sri M. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate for petitioners and upon perusing the material on record and having stood over till this day for consideration, this Court made the following:-

ORDER

1. This is a petition filed by the petitioners under section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for dissolution of marriage between the petitioners by way of granting decree of divorce on mutual consent.

2. The brief facts of the Petition are that, the Petitioners are the Wife and Husband respectively and their marriage was performed on 27-03-2024 at Sri Venkateswara Swamy Arya Vysya Kalyana Mandapam, Chilakaluripet according to Hindu Caste Custom, Dharma Sastra. Immediately after the marriage, the 1st Petitioner joined with the 2nd Petitioner and they lived happily for two months only at Thirupathi. The marriage was consummated.

i) Unfortunately, some misunderstandings arose between the Petitioners. Subsequently, the 1st Petitioner separated herself from the house of 2nd Petitioner on 15-03-2024 and since then they have been living separately. In spite of mediations by either side elders, there is no hope of reunion. Under the above circumstances, at the intervention of elders, both the petitioners decided to dissolve their marriage.

ii) Before the elders, the traditional paraphernalia which were given at the time of marriage were returned to one another. The 2nd Petitioner agrees to pay an amount of Rs.25,00,000/- towards permanent alimony to the 1st Petitioner by way of cash and the 1st Petitioner agrees that she will relinquish all her rights of maintenance against the 2nd Petitioner and both petitioners agreed not to file any cases against each other. No similar petition was filed before any court and there is no collusion in between the Petitioners. Hence, the petition.

3. Now, the point for determination is --

Whether the petitioners are entitled for decree of divorce on mutual consent as prayed for ?

4. On behalf of the petitioners, P.Ws.1 and 2 were examined and Ex.P1 *Wedding Card*, Ex.P.2 Two Marriage Photos and Ex.P.3 Original Aadhar Card of the 2nd Petitioner were marked.

5. Heard the counsel for the petitioners.

POINT:-

6. In order to substantiate their contention, the Petitioners themselves are examined as P.Ws.1 and 2 respectively and marked Ex.P1 *Wedding Card*, Ex.P.2 Two Marriage Photos and Ex.P.3 Original Aadhar Card of the 2nd Petitioner and proved the fact that their marriage took place on 27-03-2024 at Chilakaluripet as per Hindu Cast and Customs and also proved their residence. It is an admitted fact that after two months of marriage, Petitioners are living separately. The evidence of P.w.1 and P.w.2 corroborated with each other and proved that the marriage of the Petitioners was irretrievably broken and there is no chance for reunion and they settled the matter amicably. In view of the above circumstances, there is no possibility to continue the Petitioners in marital tie. Hence, the petitioners are entitled for decree of divorce on mutual consent. Accordingly, the point is answered.

7. In the result, the petition is allowed and the marriage of the Petitioners is dissolved by way of granting decree of divorce on mutual consent. No costs.

Typed to my dictation to the steno, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court, this the 4th day of August, 2026.

Sd/- B. Kiran Kumar
Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Narasaraopet

Appendix of Evidence
Witnesses examined

For petitioners:

P.W.1 : Addepalli @ Yenduri Venkata Sai Mounika
P.w.2 : Addepalli Konda Shyam Siddharth

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For Petitioners:-

Ex.P1 : Wedding Card.
Ex.P2 : Two Marriage Photos .
Ex.P3 : Original Aadhar Card of 2nd Petitioner.

Sd/- B. Kiran Kumar
PCJ(S.D), Nrt.

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION),
NARASARAOPET.**

Present:- **Sri Kiran Kumar B**
Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division),
Narasaraopet.

Tuesday, this the 4th day of August, 2026

H.M.O.P.No. 142 / 2026

Between:-

1. Addepalli @ Yenduri Venkata Sai Mounika

w/o. Konda Shyam Siddharth D/o. Late Yenduri Sitaram Prasad Babu,
Aged 27 yrs., Hindu, House Wife, R/o.D.No. 24-1-100, Flat No. 202,
Dilshia Enclave-2, Sambamurthy Road, Opp. to Sub Station, Durgapuram,
VTC Vijayawada Urban.

2. Addepalli Konda Shyam Siddharth

s/o. Balaji Kumar, Aged 32 yrs., Hindu, ATC Officer, International Airport,
Tirupathi, R/o.D.No. 7-64, Peddabazar, Kancheekcharla, Opp. to Old Post Office,
Krishna District, Vijayawada JCJC.

...Petitioners.

And

Nil.

...Respondent.

This is a petition filed by the petitioners under section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for dissolution of marriage between the petitioners by way of granting decree of divorce on mutual consent.

This being a petition filed under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, a fixed court fee of Rs.10/- was paid under Schedule II Art I(vii) of APCF and SV Act, 1956.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Sri M. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate for petitioners and upon perusing the material on record and having stood over till this day for consideration, this Court doeth order and decree:-

- 1) that the petition be and the same is allowed; and
- 2) that the marriage of the petitioners is dissolved by way of granting decree of divorce on mutual consent ;and
- 3) that each party do bear their own costs.

Given under my hand and seal of the court, this the 4th day of August ,2026.

Sd/- B. Kiran Kumar

Prl. Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Narasaraopet

Table of Costs

CM & FC not filed by the petitioners.

//True Copy//

Id/- B. Kiran Kumar
PCJ (S.D), Nrt.

