

State Vs. Ritik @ Peter & Ors.
SC No. 01/2025
Case FIR No. 165/2024
U/S 3/4 of the Maharashtra Control of Organized Crime
Act, 1999 (MCOCA)
PS Crime Branch, Delhi.
Hybrid Hearing

17.08.2026

Present : Mr. Hritwik Maurya and Ms. Lisa Pegwal, Ld.
Advocates for State.
ACP Bhagwati Prasad
Inspector Krishan Kumar
Accused Ritik @ Peter (A-1), Tihar Jail No. 1
(through VC).
Accused Rohit @ Anna (A-2), Tihar Jail No. 3
(through VC).
Accused Sachin Chikkara (A-3), Tihar Jail No. 1
(through VC).
Accused Naresh Balyan (A-4), Mandoli Jail No. 14
(through VC).
Accused Vijay Gahlot @ Kalu (A-5), Tihar Jail No.
3 (through VC).
Accused Sahil @ Poli (A-6), Tihar Jail No. 1
(through VC).
**Accused Jyoti Prakash @ Baba(A-7) not produced
from Tihar Jail No.1.**
Accused Vikas Gahlot (A-8), Tihar Jail No. 3
(through VC).
Accused Veenita (A-9) (through VC).
Accused Amar @ Amardeep Lochab (A-10)
(through VC).
Accused Kapil Sangwan @ Nandu (A-11) (**declared
proclaimed offender vide order dated 15.05.2025**)
Accused Manoj Yadav @ Kaira (A-12), Central Jail
no. 8/9, Tihar (through VC).
Accused Umed Singh (**declared proclaimed offender
vide order dated 05.08.2026**)

Mr. Vikram Singh, Ld. Counsel for A-1, A-2, A-3, A-5, A-6 and A-7 (through VC).
Ms. Rebecca M. John, Ld. Sr. Advocate along with Mr. Vivek Jain, Mr. Sadiq Noor and Mr. Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsels for A-4.
Mr. Tanzeem Husain and Mr. Mohib, Ld. Counsels for A-8 and A-9.
Mr. Vijay S. Bishnoi and Mr. D.K. Sharma, Ld. Counsels for A-10.
Mr. Amit Satija, Ld. Chief LAC for accused Kapil Sangwan @ Nandu (A-11).
Mr. Chirag Madan, Ms. Rachael Tuli and Mr. Sai Krishna Kumar, Ld. Counsels for A-12.

1. Accused Jyoti Prakash @ Baba (A-7) has not been produced from JC through VC. It is stated on behalf of the prosecution that the accused being a high risk UTP has been transferred to some other jail.
2. Prosecution seeks some time to furnish the details of the aforesaid UTP.
3. The Jail Superintendent, Tihar Jail No.1 is directed to intimate this court accordingly and produce accused no. 7 Jyoti Prakash @ Baba on the next date of hearing positively.
4. Let a notice be issued to the Jail Superintendent, Tihar Jail No. 1 for compliance.
5. Part arguments have been advanced on behalf of A-4 by the Ld. Sr. Counsel.
6. One application (I.A. No. 25/2026) has been filed on behalf of accused Manoj Yadav @ Kaira (A-12) seeking that

some time may be granted to advance arguments on charge. It is stated that due to personal difficulty and pre-occupation, the Ld. Counsel for accused no. 12 could not advance arguments on the previous date of hearing. It is prayed that one opportunity be granted.

7. The application filed on behalf of 12 shall be taken up after arguments are concluded by the other remaining accused i.e. A-4 and A-11.

8. It is stated on behalf of A-11 that though they deny all the allegations levelled against A-11, however, as A-11 is yet to be arrested, therefore, they have no objection if charge is framed against the accused accordingly under relevant provisions.

9. An application (M. No. 225/26) seeking request for attachment of the property has been filed. The application is pending for arguments. This application be kept pending till the next date of hearing.

10. Put up for further arguments on 29.08.2026.

(Prashant Kumar)
Special Judge [PC Act][CBI]-24
(MP/MLA cases), RADC),
New Delhi/17.08.2026