

Witness called and duly sworn on 22.04.2026.

Further cross examination: Sri. KAP., advocate for the plaintiffs:

38. It is true to suggest that a copy of the Writ Petition now shown to me is the copy of the Writ Petition filed by me in WP No. 8949/2026 before the the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bengaluru. Since witness admitted the copy of the Writ Petition shown to him same is marked as Ex.P-24.

39. It is true to suggest that, in the Ex.P-24, I have not disclosed about the pendency of this suit, appointment of ADLR as Court Commissioner and also submission of his report. Witness volunteers that the relief sought in the Ex.P-24/Writ Petition and the relief sought in this suit by the plaintiff are altogether different, therefore, I did not disclose the same in the Ex.P-24.

Re-examination: Nil.

Typed to my dictation in the open Court.

R.O.I. & A.C.

Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Mulbagal.