

State Vs Bhima Khan , BA 01
FIR No. 173 of 31.12.2017,
U/S 61 Excise Act,
P.S Moonak

Present:- APP for the state.
Sh. Arshdeep Singh Chauhan Adv., counsel for accused/applicant.

Ld. APP for the State has filed reply. Copy supplied.

Heard on bail application.

2. During the course of arguments, it has come to my notice that Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has mentioned in application that accused is in police custody whereas it is not so. Ld. Counsel for accused applicant has prayed that it may be read as judicial custody. His statement recorded. Accordingly, it is allowed.

3. Accused is in custody since long time. Completion of investigation and conclusion of trial, will take long time. No useful purpose is going to be served by detaining the accused in custody. Hence, accused is admitted to bail, subject to furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties in the like amount. Bail bonds and surety bonds not furnished. Papers be put up on date already fixed.

Dt. 04.01.2018

(Rekha Steno Grd.II)

(Pushpa Rani), PCS

SDJM, Moonak

UID-PB0279

At this stage, bail bonds and surety bonds furnished, which are accepted and attested. Let, release warrants be issued. Papers be put up date already fixed.

Dt. 04.01.2018

(Rekha Steno Grd.II)

(Pushpa Rani), PCS

SDJM, Moonak

UID-PB0279