

Witness present and duly sworn on: 26.07.2023

Cross Examination by: Sri.CSP., Advocate for Defendant No.5 .

Kupendra Kumar and his brother filed the suit against their father by name Munivenkatappa seeking relief of partition in respect of 2 landed properties. I don't know the survey number of landed properties. It is true to say that the Vedavathi purchased the property bearing Sy. No. 7/3 measuring to an extent of 36 guntas. It is false to suggest that the Vedavathi digged the borewell in the Sy. No. 7/3. I don't know the khatha and mutation effected in the name of Vedavathi. Munivenkatappa died at Halekuppa village. It is false to suggest that the said Munivenktappa died near the temple in the Andra pradesh. It is false to suggest that children of Munivenkatappa not taken care of him. It is false to suggest that Munivenkatappa was suffering from illness and plaintiff not provided treatment to the Munivenkatappa and then Munivenkatappa sold the property to the Vedavathi. It is false to suggest that after the death of Munivenkatappa the plaintiff not performed final rites of Munivenkatappa.

The property bearing Sy. No. 7/3 bounded East by: property of Sriramappa, West and North by: Ashoka reddy property and South by: P. Krishnappa property. I don't know contents of the affidavit filed by me to the court. It is false to suggest that I am deposing falsely to help plaintiff.

Advocate for Defendant No. 4 prays time for cross examination of PW2. No grounds made out. Hence prayer of the advocate for Defendant No. 4 is rejected. Defendant No. 3 called out absent. Hence cross examination of PW2 by Defendant No.3 and 4 is taken as NIL.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court, corrected and then signed by me)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Mulbagal.