

**In the Court of Ms.Amandeep Kaur,
Judge Special Court, Amritsar.
UID No.PB0307.**

CNR No. PBAS010143662026



Case No. BA/10126/2026

Presented on : 10-06-2026
Registered on : 11-06-2026
Decided on : 03-07-2026
0 years, 0 months, 23
Duration : days

State

Versus

Sarabjit Singh @ Sabba son of Bhajan Singh, resident of VPO Romana Chak, Majitha, District Amritsar.

.....Applicant-accused.

FIR No.79 dated 13.5.2026
Under Sections 21/27-A/29/61/85 NDPS Act
P.S. Majitha, Amritsar.

Ist bail application under Section 483 BNSS, 2023

Present: Ms.Komaljeet Kaur Advocate, for the applicant-accused.
Sh.Inderpal Singh Sandhu, Addl.PP for the State.

ORDER

1. Present bail application has been moved on behalf of the applicant-accused under Section 483 of BNSS in FIR No.79 dated 13.5.2026, registered at Police Station Majitha, under Sections 21/27-A/29/61/85 of NDPS Act.
2. Notice of the bail application was issued to the prosecution and Sh.Inderpal Singh Sandhu, Addl. PP for the State has appeared on

behalf of State. Statement of ASI Ripanjit Singh regarding the antecedents of applicant, present bail application being first bail application, recovery etc. has been recorded. Report of Ahlmad regarding present bail application being first bail application perused. Police record seen and returned.

3. I have heard arguments of the learned counsel for the applicant-accused and the learned Addl. PP for the State.

4. The learned counsel for applicant-accused contended that the applicant-accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He is in custody since 13.05.2026 and is no more required for further investigation. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from him. Presentation of challan and conclusion of trial will take long time. No useful purpose shall be served by keeping the applicant-accused behind the bar for unlimited period. He lastly prayed that applicant-accused may kindly be released on bail.

5. On the other hand, the learned Addl. PP strongly opposed the bail application of accused-applicant and prayed for dismissal of the same.

6. Having heard both sides, I have gone through the file. Perusal of file reveals that applicant-accused Sarabjit Singh @ Sabba was arrested on 13.05.2026 and 06 grams Heroin and Rs.200/- as drug money was recovered from his possession. During interrogation, applicant-accused has made disclosure statement that he had purchased the

recovered Heroin from Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi.

7. It follows from a careful appreciation of rival contentions of learned counsel for the applicant-accused and of learned Addl.PP for the State that applicant-accused is in custody since the date of his arrest i.e. 13.05.2026. The quantity of contraband in question recovered from the applicant-accused falls within the ambit of non-commercial category. As all the prosecution witnesses are police officials, it can also not be said that in case applicant is released on bail, he is likely to influence the prosecution witnesses. The question whether the recovered money constitutes the drug money or not is a matter of evidence which can be decided only at the time of conclusion of trial. In considered opinion of this court, completion of investigation and trial in the matter shall take considerable time and no useful purpose would be served by continued detention of applicant-accused in custody and such detention is an avoidable burden on the state exchequer. As such, the applicant-accused is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing his bail bonds for the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate subject to the following conditions:-

- 1) that applicant-accused shall not tamper the prosecution evidence.
- 2) that applicant-accused shall appear before the court on each and every date of hearing.
- 3) that applicant-accused shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.
- 4) that the applicant-accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusations against him so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to

any police officer.

Surety may furnish proof regarding ownership of some immovable property or may deposit the bond amount in cash, in token of his /her financial soundness.

Further in compliance to the directions issued by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Suo Moto Writ Petition (Criminal) No.4/2021 titled IN RE: POLICY STRATEGY FOR GRANT OF BAIL*, Ahlmad is directed to send the soft copy of this order to the Central Jail, where the accused is confined, so that accused is made aware of grant of bail.

Anything observed herein would not amount to comments on merits of the case. A copy of this order be sent to the learned Illaqa Magistrate for compliance. After acceptance of bail bonds and surety bonds by the learned Illaqa/Duty Magistrate, same be sent to this court. Police record be returned and the record of bail application be attached with the pending case file/remand papers.

Pronounced.
03.07.2026

Rakesh Kumar, Stenographer Grade-I.

(Amandeep Kaur),
Judge, Special Court,
Amritsar (UID No.PB0307).

State Vs. Sarabjit Singh @ Sabha

BA No.10126/2026

Present: Ms.Komaljeet Kaur Advocate, for the applicant-accused.
Sh.Inderpal Singh Sandhu, Addl.PP for the State.

IO/ASI Ripanjit Singh has come present and suffered the statement which has been recorded separately.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate order of even date, present bail application is allowed. Record of bail application be attached with the pending case file/remand papers.

Pronounced.
03.07.2026

(Amandeep Kaur),
Judge, Special Court,
Amritsar (UID No.PB0307).

Rakesh Kumar, Stenographer Grade-I.