

**In the Court of Ms Jatinder Kaur,
Sessions Judge, Amritsar**

CNR No. PBAS010147662026



Case No. BA/10328/2026

Presented on	: 20-06-2026
Registered on	: 22-06-2026
Decided on	: 03-07-2026
	0 years, 0 months, 13
Duration	: days

S T A T E

VERSUS

*Rajvir Singh s/o Gurpreet Singh, r/o Jotisar Colony, Gunnu Wala road,
Jandiala Guru, Amritsar.*

.....Applicant/accused.

F.I.R.No.131 dated 24.09.2025.

Offences u/s: 318(4)/61(2) of BNS.

Police Station: Ranjit Avenue, Amritsar.

Second application for bail u/s 483 of BNSS.

*Present: Shri Shubham Kumar, Advocate, counsel for the applicant/
accused.
Shri Shivraj Singh Sran, Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.*

ORDER

1. This order of mine shall dispose off present second bail application under section 483 of BNSS moved by the above named applicant-accused in the above noted FIR.
2. The brief facts leading to the filing of the present bail

application are that complainant Harjit Singh son of Santa Singh, resident of House No.60, brick kiln Tara Singh, Bhakha Hari Singh, Tehsil and District Amritsar, moved an application dated 28.04.2025 before Commissioner of Police, Amritsar regarding fraud being committed to him by Gurbinder Kaur and her son Rajvir Singh, stating therein that he had a talk with Gurbinder Kaur through father-in-law of his son for sending his son Kanwaljit Singh and his wife Gurpreet Kaur to England on work visa. The deal was struck at Rs.24 Lakhs. Out of this amount, a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- was paid in cash to Rajvir Singh son of Gurbinder Kaur. Visa to Gurpreet Kaur, daughter-in-law of the complainant, was refused, however, the accused secured a tourist visa of England for son of the complainant. After the grant of said visa, the accused again took a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- in cash from the complainant, but did not disclose the fact that visitor visa was obtained instead of work visa for which the deal was struck. Apart from this a sum of Rs.1,39,000/- through online mode on the pretext of purchasing tickets, Rs.1,50,000/- on the pretext of bio metric were taken by Rajvir Singh and apart from this another sum of Rs.2,00,000/- was also taken by Rajvir Singh in cash. In this manner, a total amount of Rs.14,65,000/- has been taken by the accused from the complainant on the pretext of providing work visa of England to the son of the complainant. However, when son of the complainant reached at U.K.Airport, he was sent back and was told that he is having tourist visa and that too has been procured by use of forged documents. On return of son of the complainant, the complainant demanded his money back from

the accused but they did not return the same, rather threatened the complainant of dire consequences.

On the aforesaid complaint, a detailed inquiry was conducted by the police and then the present FIR has been registered against the applicant/accused Rajvir Singh and Gurbinder Kaur. Applicant/accused Rajvir Singh was arrested in this case on 05.01.2026. Earlier on the applicant/accused moved similar application for bail which has been dismissed by this court vide order dated 15.04.2026 and now he has moved the present bail application on the fresh ground.

3. Notice of the bail application was given to the State. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appeared on behalf of the State and contested the same for the State.

4. This court has heard the contentions raised by learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and have also perused the record of the case.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant-accused contended that the applicant-accused is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He is in custody since the day of his arrest i.e. 05.01.2026. Although earlier similar application moved by applicant/accused was dismissed by this court vide order dated 15.04.2026, but a material change in the circumstances has occurred thereafter inasmuch as the the prosecution has not been able to examine even a single witness despite framing of charge against the applicant/accused on 11.05.2026 and despite dismissal of the earlier bail application. Thus, a prayer is

made for acceptance of the present regular bail application.

Whereas on the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the present bail application. He has contended that applicant-accused has committed a heinous offence and had duped the complainant of Rs.14,65,000/- and as such, if released, he may try to influence the prosecution witnesses or may abscond. Even otherwise similar bail application of the applicant has also been dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 21.05.2026 and thus, a prayer is made for the dismissal of regular bail application.

6. After considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant-accused, rival submissions made by Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and after going through the record carefully, this court is of the considered view that applicant alongwith his co-accused duped the complainant of a huge amount of Rs.14,65,000/-. The entire amount has been taken by the accused from the complainant for procuring work visa of England for the son of the complainant but they send the son of the complainant to England on tourist visa and that too on forged documents due to which the son of the complainant has to return back to India. Though it is contended that the applicant-accused is in custody since the day of his arrest but the alone fact that the applicant-accused is in custody since the day of his arrest is no ground to grant him concession of regular bail. Very grave and serious allegations are there against the applicant/accused. Similar bail application preferred by the applicant/accused before the Hon'ble High Court has also been dismissed

by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 21.05.2026. Thus, keeping in view such circumstances and the allegations being serious in nature, I am not inclined to bestow benefit of regular bail to the applicant/accused. So, keeping in view the serious allegations against the applicant/accused and the fact that the regular bail application of the applicant/accused has already been dismissed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, the present bail application is also dismissed. The views expressed above shall not effect the merits of the present case.

Ahlmad is directed to send a soft copy of this bail order through official e-mail to the concerned Jail Superintendent for the purpose of conveying the same to the concerned accused as directed vide order dated 31.01.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Suo Moto Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021 Re.: Policy Strategy grant of Bail.*

Record of the court of Ilaqa Magisrtate be returned and file of this bail application be consigned to record room after due compilation.

*Pronounced:
3rd July, 2026.*

*(Jatinder Kaur)
Sessions Judge, Amritsar
(UID:PB0062)*

*Pardeep Kumar
Stenographer Grade-I*

*CNR NO.PBAS010147662026**BA NO.10328 OF 2026**STATE VERSUS RAJVIR SINGH*

*Present: Shri Shubham Kumar, Advocate, counsel for the applicant/
accused.
Shri Shivraj Singh Sran, Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.*

Record from the court of Ilqa Magistrate received and
perused.

Heard. Vide my separate & detailed order of today, the bail
application u/s 483 BNSS applied on behalf of aforementioned applicant-
accused has been disposed off, as recorded therein.

Bail application file be consigned to the Record Room, after
due compilation.

*Pronounced:
3rd July, 2026.*

*(Jatinder Kaur)
Sessions Judge, Amritsar,
(UID:PB0062)*

*Pardeep Kumar
Stenographer Grade-I*