

MVC 253/2024 PW1

Duly sworn on :- 24.07.2026

Further chief examination by Sri.KNS learned counsel for Petitioner:-

I have produced the following documents.

- 1) I have produced the certified copy of wound certificate and it is marked as ExP13.
- 2) I have produced the certified copy of discharge summary and it is marked as ExP14.

- 7 -

3)I have produced the certified copy of casual contact rate chart circular and it is marked as ExP15.

4) I have produced the certified copy of spot mahazar and it is marked as ExP16.

5) I have produced the certified copy of driving license of driver of lorry and it is marked as ExP17.

6) I have produced the certified copy of transfer ownership document and it is marked as ExP18.

7) I have produced the certified copy of fitness certificate and it is marked as ExP19.

Cross examination by Sri.KPR learned counsel for respondent:-

I join the department in the year 2010 as a Depot manager. For the past one year I am working as Divisional Mechanical engineer. We are taking the photographs in respect of damage caused to the vehicle in road traffic accident. In this case we have not taken the photographs and report is not filed. The offending vehicle hit our bus from behind. I have not furnished photographs pertaining to the towing of the vehicle.

In regard to travel of injured in our bus there is reference in our complaint, FIR and charge sheet. I have no documents about the travel of injured in our bus. It is false to suggest that injured Fayaz had not travelled in our bus. I do

- 8 -

not remember whether ticket is collected from the injured. It is false to suggest that we have not paid any amount as compensation to the injured. Material cost is Rs.7,140/-, Labour charge is 21,092/-. Witness volunteers that there is overhead charges incurred. We have mentioned in the bill about the overhead charges. We have mentioned the amount of overhead charges, but the word over head is not mentioned. It is false to suggest that over head charges additionally included to claim excess compensation. It is false to suggest that hospital expenses is not incurred. It is false to suggest that except Rs.28,206/- the other claim made by me is incorrect. It is false to suggest that though the bus is running in good condition unnecessary towing charges is claimed. It is false to suggest that unnecessary expenses are shown claiming more compensation.

(Re examination nil).

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Kolar