

IN THE COURT OF I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS

JUDGE, KOLAR.

SC No. 212/2025

PW:- 3 CW:-3

Duly Sworn on:- 7/04/2026

Deposition of :- Asha

Husband's name :- Manjunatha

Age :- 46 years

Occupation :- Private company employee

Residence :- Mugalabele village, Bangarpet taluk.

Examination-in-Chief by learned P.P.:-

Victim is my daughter. Accused is my son-in-law. Cw1 is my brother-in-law. Cw2 is my husband. Cw4 and Cw5 are from my village. Police have not recorded my statement. I have not given any statement against the accused herein alleging against my daughter. Accused and my daughter living happily and they have 2 children. The children of deceased and accused were living with accused. I do not know why my daughter had committed suicide. My daughter is suffering from health disorder, hence she might have committed suicide.

(At this juncture, the learned PP prays to consider the witness as hostile witness and permit to cross examine the witness - heard – permitted.)

Cross examination by learned PP: -

It is false to suggest that there was matrimonial dispute between the accused and Girija. It is false to suggest that accused herein is an alcoholic and was gambler. It is false to suggest that when the deceased had enquired the accused about his ill habits, he was quarreling with her. It is false to suggest that accused was pressurizing the deceased to go to job. It is false to suggest that 2 months prior to the incident accused had picked up quarrel with us and assaulted her and instigated her to die which I came to know through the villagers. It is false to suggest that on 4/2/2025 deceased came home after finishing night duty she being annoyed by the harassment of accused had committed suicide by hanging to the fan by tying her neck with a saree at 2.00 pm. It is false to suggest that I came to know about the death of victim through one Chandrappa. It is false to suggest that thereafter cw1 had given complaint before the police and also given statement before the police on 5/2/2025. It is false to suggest that though I know the cause of death of deceased I am deposing falsely to assist the accused. The statement of witness is marked as Ex.P5.

Cross examination by Sri.KVS counsel for the accused:-

Learned counsel for accused submits no cross. Hence cross taken as nil.

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kolar

IN THE COURT OF I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS

JUDGE, KOLAR.

SC No. 212/2025

PW:- 2 CW:-2

Duly Sworn on:- 7/04/2026

Deposition of :-Manjunatha

Father's name :- Jayaramappa

Age :- 48 years

Occupation :- working in saloon shop

Residence :- Mugalabele village, Bangarpet taluk.

Examination-in-Chief by learned P.P.:-

Victim is my daughter. Accused is my son-in-law. Cw1 is my brother. Cw3 is my wife. Cw4 and Cw5 are from my village. Police have not recorded my statement. I have not given any statement before the police. Accused and my daughter are living happily and they have 2 children. The children of deceased and accused were living with accused. I do not know why my daughter had committed suicide. My daughter is suffering from health disorder, hence she might have committed suicide.

(At this juncture, the learned PP prays to consider the witness as hostile witness and permit to cross examine the witness - heard – permitted.)

Cross examination by learned PP: -

It is false to suggest that there was matrimonial dispute between the accused and Girija. It is false to suggest that accused herein is an alcoholic and was gambler. It is false to suggest that when the deceased had enquired the accused about his ill habits, he was quarreling with her. It is false to suggest that accused was pressurizing the deceased to go to job. It is false to suggest that 2 months prior to the incident accused had picked up quarrel with us and assaulted her and instigated her to die which I came to know through the villagers. It is false to suggest that on 4/2/2025 deceased came home after finishing night duty she being annoyed by the harassment of accused had committed suicide by hanging to the fan by tying her neck with a saree at 2.00 pm. It is false to suggest that I came to know about the death of victim through one Chandrappa. It is false to suggest that thereafter I had given complaint before the police and also given statement before the police on 5/2/2025. It is false to suggest that though I know the cause of death of deceased I am deposing falsely to assist the accused. The statement of witness is marked as Ex.P4.

Cross examination by Sri.KVS counsel for the accused:-

It is true to suggest that since my daughter was suffering from abdomen pain consistently she had committed suicide.

(Re examination nil).

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kolar

IN THE COURT OF I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS

JUDGE, KOLAR.

SC No. 212/2025

PW:- 1 CW:-1

Duly Sworn on:- 7/04/2026

Deposition of :- Ravi

Father's name :- Jayaram

Age :- 35 years

Occupation :- working in saloon shop

Residence :- Mugalabele village, Bangarpet taluk.

Examination-in-Chief by learned P.P.:-

Victim Girija is my brother's daughter. Accused is my son-in-law. Cw2 is my brother. Cw3 is my sister-in-law. I do not know cw4. Cw4 and Cw5 are from my village. Accused married Girija about 8 years ago. They are having 2 male children. Accused is running saloon shop. Girija was working in Wistron company. Girija had committed suicide by hanging as she was getting acute abdomen pain. The incident had happened in the house of accused. I had affixed 2 signatures on 2 papers. I do not know the contents of complaint and the mahazar. Witness identifies his signature in the complaint. Complaint is marked as Ex.P1 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P1(a). Now the signature in the mahazar is shown to the witness, he has identified it. Mahazar is marked

as Ex.P2 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P2(a). I have not affixed my signature to the mahazar. I do not know anything about the photographs now shown to me.

(At this juncture, the learned PP prays to consider the witness as hostile witness and permit to cross examine the witness - heard – permitted.)

Cross examination by learned PP: -

It is false to suggest that there was matrimonial dispute between the accused and Girija. It is false to suggest that accused herein is an alcoholic and was gambler. It is false to suggest that when the deceased had enquired the accused about his ill habits, he was quarreling with her. It is false to suggest that accused was pressurizing the deceased to go to job. It is false to suggest that 2 months prior to the incident accused had picked up quarrel with us and assaulted her and instigated her to die which I came to know through the villagers. It is false to suggest that on 4/2/2025 deceased came home after finishing night duty she being annoyed by the harassment of accused had committed suicide by hanging to the fan by tying her neck with a saree at 2.00 pm. It is false to suggest that I came to know about the death of victim through one Chandrappa. It is false to suggest that thereafter I had given complaint before the police and also given statement before the police on 5/2/2025. It is false to suggest that

though I know the cause of death of deceased I am deposing falsely to assist the accused. It is false to suggest that though I have given complaint and statement before the police I am deposing falsely.

It is false to suggest that on the next day of filing complaint police came to the place of incident and have drawn detail mahazar, have seized the saree which was used by the deceased to commit suicide in my presence and in the presence of one Manjunatha. It is false to suggest that the said mahazar is drawn in between 12.30 pm to 1.30 pm. It is false to suggest that though I was present at the time of seizure of saree and witnessed the mahazar proceedings now I am deposing falsely to assist the accused. It is false to suggest that at the time of mahazar police have taken photographs. The said photograph is marked as Ex.P3 for the purpose of identification. It is false to suggest that though I have given complaint before the police and gave statement and I was present at the time of mahazar I am deposing falsely to assist the accused.

Cross examination by Sri.KVS counsel for the accused:-

Learned counsel for accused submits no cross. Hence cross of pw1 taken as nil.

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kolar