

Duly Sworn on:-10.12.2025.

Called again in the afternoon session.

Further Cross examination by Sri.MH counsel for accused.

The entire alleged incident had occurred in the first floor. There are 2-3 house adjacent to the house of accused No.1 and 2. I do not know who is residing by the side of the house of accused No.1 and 2 in the first floor. At the time of alleged incident, no neighbour were present. The alleged incident had occurred inside the hall of house of accused No.1 and 2. I do not know the measurement of the hall. The alleged incident had occurred in the center of the hall.

I know Roshan, he is my friend and know him from 2-3 years and he is residing at Rehamath Nagar. We were together on the day of the alleged incident and I had taken Roshan to the house of accused No.1 and 2. I did not say Roshan that, I am taking him to the house of accused No.1 and 2. When we reached the house of accused No.1 and 2, Roshan was standing in the ground floor. There are many houses in the ground floor. I do not know who are residing in that houses of ground floor. At the time of alleged incident no residents of the ground floor had not come to the house of accused no.1 and 2 in the first floor. Roshan also did not come to the house of accused No.1 and 2 in the first floor.

Taj Patan had not accompanied me, instead he had called me over phone to come to the house of accused No.1 and 2. When Taj Patan had called me over phone to come to the house of accused No.1 and 2, I was at Ammavarpet, Kolar. We were in the Ammavarapet Circle. The distance from the Ammavarpet Circle to the house of accused No.1 and 2 is 30 meters.

It is true to suggest that, in chicken shop we use Cleaver (Sattur) to cut the chicken and commonly knives are used.

It is false to suggest that, on the day of the alleged incident the M.O.2 Knife was carried by Taj Patan and Jaffarulla.

It is false to suggest that, on the day of the alleged incident Taj Patan had administered alcohol to Jaffarulla.

It is false to suggest that, myself, Taj Patan had administered alcohol to Jaffarulla and had carried M.O.2 with an intention to assault the accused No.1.

It is false to suggest that, even though Jaffarulla would consume alcohol in the night, for the purpose of attacking the accused No.1 and 2, Taj Patan had administered alcohol to Jaffarulla in the morning itself on the day of the alleged incident.

I do not know whether Jaffarulla was in the habit of consuming alcohol in the afternoon. I do not know whether Jaffarulla would consume alcohol in the night for the purpose of attacking the accused No.1 and 2, Taj Patan had administered alcohol to Jaffarulla.

I have stated before the court that, Jaffarulla used to consume alcohol after his work, but on the day of the alleged incident Cw-2 Jaffarulla had consumed alcohol in the morning itself.

The wife of deceased Taj Patan is Husna Taj. I know the relationship between the accused No.1 and his wife. I had never been to the house of Accused No.1 prior to the alleged incident. I do not know compatibility between accused No.1 and his wife. I also do not know from how many days the accused No.1 and his wife were not in cordial terms. I do not know whether there was any Panchayath held to solve the problem between the accused No.1 and his wife.

I do not know personally but, the deceased Taj Patan had informed me that, I have stated in Ex P1 that, “ನಂತರದ ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೆ ಹೊಡೆದು ಹಿಂದೆ ನೀಡಿದ ತಾಯಿ ಮನೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು, ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಲವಾರು ಬಾರಿ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಮಸೀದಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಹ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ನಡೆದು ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಪದೇ ಪದೇ ಅದೇ ತರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದನು, ಅದರಂತೆ ಈಗ್ಗೆ ಮೂರುವರೆ ತಿಂಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸಹ ಹೊಡೆದು ಹಿಂಸೆ ನೀಡಿ,ಹೆಂಡತಿ ತಾಜ್ ಉನ್ನಿಸ್ ರವರನ್ನು ತಾಯಿ ಮನೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದನು”. I have stated this fact in the Ex P1. The deceased Taj Patan would

usually narrate me about the above said facts. I do not remember when he had informed me about the relationship between accused No.1 and his wife and about the Panchayath. I have not stated in ExP1 that, Taj Patan had informed me about the above said facts. The police had read over the Ex P1 before I put my signature. When the police had read over the Ex P1, I did not say to the police that, Taj Patan had informed me about the relationship between accused No.1 and his wife and about the Panchayath. I did not say to the police as to why they have not written that, Taj Patan had informed me about the relationship between accused No.1 and his wife and about the Panchayath.

(The counsel for accused prays time for further cross examination of Pw-1)

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

(DIVYA.P.K)

II Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kolar

