

Cross examination by Sri.CMN learned counsel for respondent No.2:-

I have studied upto SSLC. At the time of accident I was doing agriculture and sericulture, now I am not doing any work. I do not know whether I have produced any document in regard to my monthly income of ₹ 25,000/-. On the date of accident, I was riding the two wheeler. I have not produced the driving license to the court, witness states my license is with the police. My relative had filed complaint in regard to the accident. It is true to suggest that after three days of the accident, complaint is filed. It is false to suggest that accident had occurred due to my negligence. It is false to suggest that by colluding with police a false vehicle is implanted in the case. I do not know that the offending vehicle bearing number KA-40-ED-5180 is not insured with respondent no. 2 insurance company and therefore R2 is not liable to indemnify my claim.

It is false to suggest that even now I am doing agricultural work, witness states my parents are doing work. It is false to suggest that even though I am doing agricultural work, I am deposing falsely to claim compensation. It is false to suggest that I have produced created bills to the court. It is false to suggest that respondent no. 2 insurance company

- 7 -

is falsely implanted in the case and the insurance company is not liable to indemnify the claim of claimant.

(Re examination nil).

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge,
Kolar