

ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತು ಸತ್ರ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ ಹಾಗೂ ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರ ನಿಗ್ರಹ ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳ
ಅಧಿವಿಚಾರಣ ವಿಶೇಷ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ, ಕೋಲಾರ

Spl. C. (P.C.) 04/2020

ಪ್ರಾಸಾ: 12 ಚಾಸಾ: 23
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 09-06-2026

ಹೆಸರು :: ಜಿ.ಎನ್ ವೆಂಕಟಾಚಲಪತಿ
ತಂದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು :: ಜಿ.ವಿ ನಂಜುಂಡಪ್ಪ
ವಯಸ್ಸು :: 48 ವರ್ಷ
ಉದ್ಯೋಗ :: ಪಿಐ, ರಾಜ್ಯ ಗುಪ್ತ ವಾರ್ತೆ,
ವಾಸ ಸ್ಥಳ :: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವಚನ ಬೋಧಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಮುಖ್ಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ: ವಿಶೇಷ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಅಭಿಯೋಗಕರಿದ:

1. From 08.01.2019 to 20.07.2020 I served as Inspector, ACB, Kolar. On 25.01.2019 I took charge of this case from PW-10 and perused the records. On 26.01.2019 I received chemical examination report now shown to me. It is marked at Ex.P.46. On same day I recorded the statement of CW-19. On 13.03.2019 I recorded the statements of CW-4, 5, 6 and also obtained Ex.P. 32 visitors book extract from CW-5.

2. On 03.04.2019 I received CDR and CAF pertaining to phone No. 9379155001 belonging to CW-1 from Nodal Officer of Airtel Mobile Service provider. CDR was for the period from 02.11.2018 to 03.11.2018. The Nodal Officer furnished those documents along with certificate u/Sec.65(B). The documents now shown to me are the said certificate, CAF, voter ID card given to the

service provider and CDR. They are marked at Ex.P.47 to 49. (CAF and voter ID card are jointly marked at Ex.P.48)

3. On 08.05.2019 I recorded the statements of CW-7 and 8. On same day CW-7 Devaraj furnished the order of deputation and two other documents now shown to me. Those three documents are marked at Ex.P.50.

4. On 03.03.2020 I received Ex.P.35 order according sanction for prosecution of accused from the Government. On 04.04.2020 I submitted chargesheet against the accused as there were sufficient materials against him to prove the guilt.

Cross examination: by Sri. MH advocate for accused.

5. To the question that how many sanction orders are issued in this case the witness states that only one sanction order is issued. The sanction order is dated 04.02.2020. To the question that whether any sanction order dated 09.09.2019 was issued under GO No.ED 85 DGO 2018, Bengaluru against accused the witness states that I have not received copy of any such sanction order and the one I have received is at Ex.P.35.

6. It is incorrect to suggest that I have not recorded the statements of CW-4 to 8. It is incorrect to suggest that I have not collected any documents. It is incorrect to suggest that none of the witness supplied to me any documents. It is incorrect to suggest that though there were no sufficient materials against the accused I have filed false chargesheet against him.

ಮರು ವಿಚಾರಣೆ: ಇಲ್ಲ.

(ನನ್ನ ಉಕ್ತಲೇಖನದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ತೆರೆದ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಅವರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಗಣಕಯಂತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆರಳಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿ, ಪುನಃ ಓದಿ ಹೇಳಲಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು ಸರಿ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.)

ಓ. ಹೇ. ಕೇ. ಸ. ಇದೆ,

(ವಿಜಯಕುಮಾರ್ ಎಂ. ಆನಂದಶೆಟ್ಟಿ
ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತು ಸತ್ರ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು
ಕೋಲಾರ