

KAKL010014552026



**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE AT KOLAR**

DATED THIS THE 18<sup>th</sup> DAY OF JUNE 2026

PRESENT

**SHRI V.M. ANANDASHETTI**  
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, KOLAR

**Spl.C.(NDPS).16/2026**

**COMPLAINANT:** State of Karnataka represented by  
Mulbagal Town Police Station,  
Kolar District.

(Reptd., by Sri.**Madhav  
Padmanabh Gaonkar**- P.P.)

**VERSUS**

**ACCUSED** : Shameer Pasha @ Guddodu and  
others

**APPLICANT** : Arbazulla  
(Accused No.2)

(Reptd. by **Sri. K.V.Shankarappa**,  
Advocate)

**ORDERS ON BAIL APPLICATION OF ACCUSED NO.2**

On 17.03.2026 about 3.30 p.m. the raiding team seized 1.150 kgs of ganja from possession of Accused No.1. The applicant/accused No.2 is implicated on the allegation that it is he and his brother-accused No.3, who supplied such ganja to accused No.1. Accordingly, charge sheet is filed.

2. Heard.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer of Accused No.2 by submitting that it is this applicant and his brother-accused No.3, who supplied ganja to Accused No.1. He further submitted that there are three more cases against the present applicant. Thus, he prayed to reject the bail application.

4. On querying as to what is the other material to implicate the applicant other than voluntary statement of accused No.1 and accused No.2-present applicant, the learned Public Prosecutor submitted that there is Call Detail Record of accused No.1 and 3 and since the accused No.2 is brother of accused No.3, he is also involved in commission of offence.

5. Admittedly according to prosecution, 1.150 kgs of ganja is seized from Accused No.1 and not from the present applicant. There may be CDRs to show contact between accused No.1 and 3. That by itself, atleast for the present application, would not mean that even the present applicant indulged in selling ganja to accused No.1. Hence, except voluntary statements of Accused No.1 and applicant, there is no other material to connect him to the crime in question. When such is the case, his involvement in three more cases would not be a ground to deny him bail when on merits in this case is entitled to it. Hence, I proceed to pass the following:-

**ORDER**

The bail application is allowed and applicant/accused No.2 is released on bail subject to following conditions:

1) He shall execute personal bond and offer a surety for Rs.1,00,000/-.

2) He shall appear before the Court regularly and shall not tamper with prosecution case.

3) He shall not commit similar offence.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and computerized by her, revised, corrected and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the **18<sup>th</sup> DAY OF JUNE 2026**).

**(V.M. ANANDASHETTI)**  
**PRL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, KOLAR.**