

IN THE COURT OF I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS

JUDGE, KOLAR.

SC No. 13/2022

PW:- 3 CW:-7

Duly Sworn on:- 25/03/2026

Deposition of :- Venkatesh

Father's name :- Narayanaswamy

Age :- 26 years

Occupation :- Business

Residence :- Malur.

Examination-in-Chief by learned P.P.:-

On 30/10/2021 police called me to the police station and informed about the seizure proceedings about the theft case which had occurred near Alkargo company. The police have shown the 2 mobile phones and 2 cars ie., Maruthi 800 car bearing No. KA-21-M-1084, One Maruthi Alto car bearing No. DL-03-CZ-6160, two wheeler bearing No. KA-53-EU-7035. When the Maruthi alto car was checked there was iron long and one knife was found, when the Maruthi 800 car was searched one chopper and 2 clubs were found, two wheeler was also there, in the two wheeler there is 500/- 2 notes was found. Witness identifies the articles before the court, Iron knife is marked as Mo.1, iron long is marked Mo.2, Iron chopper is marked as Mo.3, 2 clubs are collectively marked as Mo.4.

- 2-

Witness identifies the photograph of the 2 cars and they are marked as Ex.P3 and Ex.P4. Witness identifies the 2 photographs of Pulsar motor bikes and they are collectively marked as Ex.P5. I can identify the cash if shown to me. Police have shown the accused no.1 to 4 on that day. Police have drawn detail mahazar in the spot. Mahazar is already marked as Ex.P2 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P2(b).

Cross examination by Sri.SDC counsel for the accused

No.1:-

Myself and pw2 are from same town. Police have not issued any written notice to me to come for mahazar. I had gone to police station in regard to some other issue. It is false to suggest that police have taken signature on mahazar without giving any information about the seizure. Myself, pw2 and Prakash were there at the time of mahazar. 4 persons had affixed their signatures to the mahazar. Except 4 persons no other persons were there at the time of mahazar. I do not know the names of persons who were shown to me at the time of mahazar. It is true to suggest that our signature was not taken to Mo.1 to Mo.4 and case number is also not mentioned. It is false to suggest that no vehicle was seized under mahazar, no articles were seized, no mahazar is drawn, I have not affixed my signature to the mahazar.

**Cross-examination by Sri.CRJ, Sri. SKS and Sri.MVH
counsel for the accused No. 2 to 4 :-**

Learned counsel for accused No.2 to 4 submits that they are adopting the cross-examination of Pw3 made by learned counsel for A1. They are permitted.

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kolar

IN THE COURT OF I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS

JUDGE, KOLAR.

SC No. 13/2022

PW:- 2 CW:-8

Duly Sworn on:- 25/03/2026

Deposition of :- Pradeep kumar

Father's name :- Thimmarayappa

Age :- 32 years

Occupation :- Working in Manipal hospital.

Residence :- Malur.

Examination-in-Chief by learned P.P.:-

On 30/10/2021 my phone was lost when I was doing duty. I went to police station to file complaint. I was sitting in the police station. Police have informed me that there is robbery in a sheet house situated near Alkargo company at Marasandra. They have informed me that they are going to seize Maruthi 800 car bearing No. KA-21-M-1084, One Maruthi Alto car bearing No.DL-03-CZ-6160 and one pulsar bike. Accused No.1 to 5 were not present at that time. Police have not shown the said vehicles to me. I do not know anything else about the case. Except Maruthi 800 car no other vehicles were shown by the police. They have typed a document and taken my signature. Witness identifies his signature in the seizure mahazar. Seizure mahazar is marked

- 2 -

as Ex.P2 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P2(a). I do not know about the seizure of any other articles under mahazar.

(At this juncture, the learned PP prays to consider the witness as partly hostile witness and permit to cross examine the witness - heard – permitted.)

Cross examination by learned PP: -

It is false to suggest that cw24 Markondappa had shown the accused No.1 to 5 to me and other panch witnesses and informed that they have committed robbery on 28/10/2021 in the sheet house of one Harish and have robbed 3 mobile phones and cash. It is false to suggest that in my presence cw24 had seized 2 Maruthi cars, one pulsar two wheeler bearing No. KA-53-EU-7035, witness volunteers that only Maruthi 800 car was seized. It is false to suggest that the Maruthi alto car bearing No.DL-03-CZ-6160 was inspected there was one iron knife and one long chopper. It is false to suggest that the Maruthi 800 car bearing No. KA-21-M-1084 was inspected there was 2 clubs and one iron knife in the case. It is false to suggest that they have conducted personal search of A1 and seized 2 mobile phones of oppo company. It is false to suggest that they have conducted personal search of A2 and seized one Samsung phone and cash of Rs.1,000/-. It is false to suggest that the said articles were seized under the mahazar. Now I

- 3-

cannot identify the articles which were seized under Ex.P2 mahazar in PF No.254/2021. It is false to suggest that though I was present throughout the said proceedings and the aforesaid articles shown in PF no.254/2021 were seized in my presence I am deposing falsely before the court.

Cross examination by Sri.SDC counsel for the accused No.1:-

Learned counsel for accused submits no cross examination, hence cross-examination of Pw2 taken as nil.

Cross-examination by Sri.CRJ, Sri. SKS and Sri.MVH counsel for the accused No. 2 to 4 :-

Learned counsel for accused submits no cross examination, hence cross-examination of Pw2 taken as nil.

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kolar

IN THE COURT OF I ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS

JUDGE, KOLAR.

SC No. 13/2022

PW:- 1 CW:-6

Duly Sworn on:- 25/03/2026

Deposition of :- Prakash

Father's name :- M.N.Krishnappa

Age :- 45 years

Occupation :- Agriculturist

Residence :- Marasandra village.

Examination-in-Chief by learned P.P.:-

On 29/10/2021 I was standing near a Tea shop at Alkargo Transport company of Marasandra. Police came there and informed me that there was a robbery in a sheet house situated near a company and requested me to come as panch witness to the mahazar proceedings. Myself and cw4 have accompanied the police to the place of incident. Complainant was present in the said place. Police have inspected the spot and drawn a mahazar around 4.00 pm. I have affixed my signature to the mahazar. Mahazar is marked as Ex.P1 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P1(a). Complainant had informed the police that 3 mobile phones and cash was robbed by the unknown persons.

Cross examination by Sri.SDC counsel for the accused No.1:-

The alleged place of incident is situated at a distance of 50 to 100 meters from the Tea shop. Police have not issued notice in writing to me. I do not know since from how many years complainant was working in the said industry. I do not know about the particulars of 3 mobile phones. It is false to suggest that police have not come to the place of incident and have not drawn mahazar and I have not affixed my signature to the mahazar I am deposing falsely.

Cross-examination by Sri.CRJ, Sri. SKS and Sri.MVH counsel for the accused No. 2 to 4 :-

Learned counsel for accused No.2 to 4 submits that they are adopting the cross-examination of Pw1 made by learned counsel for A1. They are permitted.

(Computerized my dictation in open court hall.)

R O I & A C,

sd/-

(B.S.JAYASHREE)

I Addl. Dist. & Sessions Judge, Kolar