

IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE AT VIRAJPET

O.S.No:60/2013

PLAINTIFF:

K.A.Padmavathy & another.

Vs

DEFENDANT

K.A.Ganapathy & others.

ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that, suit properties are the joint family properties of plaintiffs and defendant No.1 to 9 ?
2. Whether the defendant No.1 to 6 prove that there was partition in the family properties during the life time of their father Appaiah and suit schedule properties are already partitioned ?
3. Whether the defendants prove that, suit of the plaintiffs is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties as the plaintiffs have not added the persons in Para No.19, 21, 22 of written statement as parties ?
4. Whether the defendants prove that, 5th item of suit schedule properties are not the family properties and not liable to be partitioned ?
5. Whether the plaintiffs prove that, they are entitled for 2/10th share in the suit schedule property?
6. Whether the plaintiffs are entitled for partition and separate possession as sought for ?
7. What Decree or Order ?

Place : Virajpet.
Dated: 8.8.2016


(Sharmila Kamath K.)
Civil Judge Virajpet.