



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE
JMFC, VIRAJPET.**

**PRESENT : Sri. PRADIP POTADAR, B.COM. L.L.B.,
Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Original Suit No.56/2020.**

Dated this the 03rd day of March 2026.

PLAINTIFF : Nazeera P. M,
D/o: P.H. Mohammed,
W/o: Saleem Ali, House wife,
R/o Devanageri Village and Post,
Virajpet Taluk.

(By Sri .H. R. Anwer Ahmed, Advocate)

DEFENDANT: **-Vs-**
Saleem Ali,
S/o M. K. Aneef, Engineer,
Age: 34 years,
Working in Soudi Arabia at
Jubaill,
R/o; Hosalli Village,
Kodlipet Post,
Somwarpet Taluk.

(By Smt. M. Hazira Shariff Advocate)

PARITIES TO I.A.

**PLAINTIFF /
APPLICANT** : Nazeera P. M

**DEFENDANT/
OPPONENT** **-V/s.-**
: Saleem Ali



Provision under which the application is filed	Order on application U/order VII Rule 14(A) of Civil Procedure Code.
Relief sought for	To seeking permission to produce the documents.
Date on which application are filed	24.02.2026
Date on which objection is filed by the opponent	26.02.2026
Date on which the order is passed	03.03.2026

**ORDERS ON I.A. FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF UNDER
ORDER VII RULE 14(A) OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
CODE.**

The plaintiff has filed present application seeking for production of documents.

2. In the affidavit it is stated that the case is posted for further chief of PW-2. At the time of filing the suit the plaintiff was unable to produce the documents. Now, the plaintiff is producing documents in support of case. **On these grounds the plaintiff prays to allow the application.**



3. On the other hand the defendant has filed objection to the application. It is stated in the objection that application is filed at belated stage is not maintainable. The plaintiff did not mention reasons for non production of documents. The plaintiff has filed to fill up the lacuna in the evidence. It is submitted that section 65(B) of Indian Evidence Act now replaced by section 63(4)(c) of Bharatiya Sakshya Adiniyam. The alleged certificate is produced with application is defective. The affidavit does not disclosed original source of device. **On these grounds the defendant prays to dismiss the application.**

4. Heard arguments form both the sides. Perused material available on record.

5. On perusal, following points are arise for my consideration:-

1. Whether the plaintiff has made out grounds to allow the application?



2. What Order?

6. My answers to the aforementioned points are as under :

Point no.1 : In the AFFIRMATIVE.

**Point No.2 : As per the final order
for the following:-**

REASONS

7. **POINT NO.1:-** The present suit is filed by the plaintiff for the relief of Dissolution of Marriage. The plaintiff got examined as PW.1. When the case was posted for further chief examination of PW-2, the plaintiff has produced documents. The documents are the photographs and CD along with certificate. The documents are produced to show that the defendant has married with other woman. The plaintiff has produced the documents to prove the second marriage of defendant. Therefore, the objection filed by the defendant is not maintainable. With these observations



I opines to allow the application. **Hence, I answered Point No.1 in the AFFIRMATIVE.**

8. **POINT No.2**:- In view of the forgoing observations and discussions, I proceed to pass the following :-

O R D E R

The application filed by the plaintiff under Order VII Rule 14(A) of Civil Procedure Code is hereby allowed.

In the result, the document produced by the plaintiff are taken on record subject to proof, relevancy and admissibility.

(Dictated to the stenographer, transcribed and computerized by her, then corrected, signed and pronounced by me in open court on this the 03rd day of March 2026.)

Sd/-
(Pradip Potadar)
Prl. Civil Judge & JMFC.,
Virajpet.