

2 MACT 785/25

RANJIT CHAUPAL S/O SITA RAM Vs. RAHUL KUMAR VERMA S/O SHYAM
LAL VERMA

04.12.2025

Present: Sh. Dinesh Kashyap, Ld. Counsel for petitioner along with petitioner.
Sh. Kailash Chand, Ld. Counsel for R1 & R2
Sh. Himanshu Gambhir and Sh. Rishi Prakash, Ld. counsels for
R3/insurance company.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of R1. Memo of appearance filed on behalf
of R2. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of R3. Same are taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for R1 & R2 submits that copy of DAR has not been
supplied to them.

Ld. Counsel for R3 seeks some more time to file reply. Let the reply be
filed by R3 within 3 weeks from today.

No written explanation furnished by the IO regarding delay in filing of
DAR. No written explanation furnished by the SHO regarding non filing of
IAR/FAR.

Issue notice to the IO to supply copy of DAR to R1 & R2 and to submit
written explanation regarding delay in filing of DAR, for the NDOH.

Issue notice to the SHO to submit written explanation regarding non-
filing of IAR/FAR for the NDOH.

Let the reply be filed by R1 & R2 within 30 days from supply of copy of
DAR.

Put up for reply of respondents, written explanations by the IO and SHO
on 19.02.2026.

(Sudeep Raj Saini)
PO (MACT)-02 South-West
Dwarka Courts/New Delhi
04.12.2025 (k)