

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 02.08.2025.

Cross Examination: Shri. P.T.G advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. It is true that I was the pillion rider of Motor cycle No. KA 12 K 7435. One Nikhil was riding the bike. It is incorrect to suggest that Nikhil did not had driving license to ride the Motor Cycle. I do not know the age of the Nikhil, but he is aged more than me. I have no idea on the date of incident, Nikhil was aged below 18 years.

3. Nikhil is my neighbor, he was also student. I do not know where he was studying. I was studying in Survodayvata School, Near Ponnampet. I do not know Nikhil was below 18 years age, he did not possess valid driving license.

4. It is incorrect to suggest that Nikhil did not know to ride Motor Cycle properly. It is incorrect to suggest that Nikhil did not know proper riding of Motor Cycle, as

such he fell down and caused the injuries. It is incorrect to suggest that after we fell on road, opposite vehicle hit to us. It is incorrect to suggest that the accident was occurred due to negligence of Nikhil. It is incorrect to suggest that there is no negligence/fault on part of the respondent No.1.

5. It is incorrect to suggest that my father had filed complaint alleging that the accident was occurred due to negligence of Nikhil. It is incorrect to suggest Nikhil did not had driving license, therefore, to get compensation the case is filed against respondent No.1. Nikhil bike No. KA 12 K 7435 did not had insurance policy on the date of incident. The grandmother of Nikhil is the owner of the above said vehicle.

6. Injuries sustained by me are cured, but while chewing getting pain, getting giddiness. Presently I am not taking

the treatment above said problem. Last time, I had visited Doctor for treatment in the year 2018. It is incorrect to suggest that while discharging from the hospital all injuries were cured. Doctor had advised me to take bed rest and follow up treatment. The doctor has not issued disability certificate.

7. In the year 2014, at the time of accident I was studying 10th standard. On that year, I did not take SSLC Examination because of injuries. In the year 2016, I had completed 10th Standard. I have not produced documents to show that, I did not take examination due to accident. I have completed Diploma in Compute Science at Mysore. Presently, I am working. It is incorrect to suggest that because of accident injuries, there is no loss of income or earning capacity.

8. It is incorrect to suggest that medical bills are created for the purpose of the case. It is incorrect to suggest that in my chief examination I have falsely stated.

Re examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)