

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 27.11.2025

Cross Examination: Sri.HRS, Advocate for accused No.2:

1. It is not true that on 21.07.2019 I was not on duty and not part of raiding team. Near the place of incident, there are many residential houses. Local persons not summoned as panchas, as panchas were accompanied with us to the spot. It is not true that Ex.P.2 was prepared in the station. It is not true that MO.1 to 3 not pertained to this case and planted. It is not true that Ex.P.3 to 7 photographs are created to suit the case. It is not true that the Investigation Officer is my Higher Official, therefore deposing falsely. It is not true that there is no nexus to this case and accused.

Cross Examination: Sri.NSP, Advocate for accused No.1 adopted the cross examination of accused No.2 counsel:

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)