

**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)**

S.C. 5019/2019

**PW:6
CW:13
Duly sworn on 09.10.2025**

Name of the witness	Vidya Belavi
Father Name	Ashok
Age	35 years
Occupation	WPC No.139, Ponnampet Police Station.
Residence & Mobile Number	Ponnampet

Examination-in-chief by Special Public Prosecutor:

1. From 2018 to 2024 I have worked as WPC 139 in Virajpet Town Police Station.
2. On 21.07.2019 at 1.00 PM, CW 19 called me, PW 2, PW 5, CW 11 and 15 to his office. CW 19 briefed the information received by him stating that accused No.1 in his rented house doing prostitution and asked us to assist in the raid. CW 19

called the Panchas to the police station. So we left the station for raid and reach the rented of accused No.1 around 1.15 PM.

3. PW.3 Prakash, the owner of the house was present and explained to him about intended raid. The search warrant was issued to PW.3 for search of rented house of accused No.1 Razak. CW 19 along with us as well as Panchas went to house of accused No.1 and knocked the door.
4. One lady opened the door, CW 19 enquired the said lady, she disclosed her name as Jayanthi @ Rakshitha, R/at Keregodu Village, Holenarsipura Taluk, Hassan District. She disclosed that she had come from Mysore. CW 19 questioned her about her presence, she told that accused No.1 has to pay amount and she came to his house. She also stated that accused asked her to cooperate with one person, they will give extra money. She had undergone physical contact with said person.
5. The lady Jayanthi had stated to us that, accused No.1 has left the place, on seeing us through back door. Accused No.2 was

not present and she had gone Hospital. Jayanthi had shown the place of physical contact with the person, she had shown the cot in the room, where CW 19 MO.1 to 3 were seized from the place. Mahazar was conducted, Jayanthi was taken to custody. Now I see the photographs at Ex.P 3 and 4, I am appearing in said photographs. The victim and panchas are present. I have given statement in this regard.

Cross Examination: Sri.KNV Advocate for accused No.2.

6. The house of accused is situated at Shanthi Nagar, Virajpet. Shanthi Nagar is surrounded by many residential houses. Before raid we were not aware who was the owner of the house. CW 19 through PSI Santhosh Kashap secured to his office. Santhosh Kashap was on duty on that day.
7. I was on station duty on that day. It is not true I was not on duty on that day. The distance between Virajpet Police Station to Shanthi Nagar is around 800 meters. So every night, one person will be deputed for beat duty. We reached the spot in

Inspector departmental vehicle. PW 1 is the resident of Harikeri, but I do not know PW 4 and CW 5 belongs to which area. I do not know PW.1 is the stock witness to our station cases.

8. We stopped the vehicle in front of the accused house gate. Around 5-6 persons of neighbours were watched from there house, when we reached to the spot. Search warrant was not taken from the court. IO assistant has prepared the search warrant. I do not know where search warrant was prepared either police station or the spot.
9. There are 4-5 rented houses in the said building, the owner is also residing there. CW 19 has first knocked the door. The door of the accused house is closed. When Jayanthi opened the door, she was alone. It is not true no body was there in the house of accused. It is not true Jayanthi was also not there in the house. It is not true that Jayanthi had not shown the room, cot was not there, MO.1 to 3 was not found at the spot and not

seized mahazar. I did not see accused No.1 and 2 at the spot. It is not true that accused No.1 has not left the place through back door and accused No.2 has not gone to the Hospital. It is not true photos were created for the case. It is not true MO.1 to 3 are created by the Investigation Officer. I have not signed in the Mahazar. I do not remember whether I have signed in the Mahazar. It is not true I have not assisted CW 19 in the raid. It is not true the statement was prepared by IO assistant and I am not aware of statement.

Cross Examination: Sri.NSP Advocate for accused No.1 adopted the cross examination of accused No.1.

Re- Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)

