

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

S.C.5034/2023

PW:7

CW:10

Duly sworn on 19.11.2025

Name of the witness	Prashanth
Father Name	Late Shivadas
Age	38 years
Occupation	Business
Residence & Mobile Number	Siddapura

Examination-in-chief by Public Prosecutor:

1. I know PW.6. I know CW.11, PW.1/Rozi and deceased Crystal, I do not know PW.2, 3, 5. Crystal died about 2 years back. I had seen his dead body in Polibetta road in estate. He had sustained injuries on his body. Many other public were present. Police present and inspected the spot.

My signature is appearing in Ex.P.2 mahazar. My signature is marked as **Ex.P.2(c)**. No other articles were seized. Now I see the Ex.P.3 dead body of deceased at the spot. Ex.P.4 is the spot, myself and PW.6 are appearing. I did not see MO.1 at the spot and seized by the police. My signatures are appearing on chit pasted on MO.1 to 8. Same are marked as **MO.1(b) to 8(b)**.

2. PW.6 has also signed. Body was shifted to Madikeri. In Madikeri hospital, conducted inquest mahazar by issuing notice. My signature is already marked as Ex.P.14(b). My signature in the mahazar is already marked as Ex.P.15(b). Ex.P.5 is the photo in which slippers are appearing. Ex.P.7 is the photo taken at the time of inquest mahazar. Myself, PW.6 and 11 are appearing. I have not given the statement against the accused.

(At the stage, learned PP seeks to declare witness as hostile, permitted)

Cross Examination: Learned Public Prosecutor.

3. It is not true that at the time of conducting mahazar MO.1 to 8 were seized in the my presence, presence of PW.6 and CW.11. It is not true that I came to know earlier there was quarrel between deceased and accused persons. It is also not true that I came to know on 10.08.2023 accused came in pick up vehicle and motor cycle, near National wine shop with intention to kill Crystal assaulted with clubs, hands and strangled with rope, the dead body was thrown on estate by the side of the road and left the place. It is not true that MO.1 to 8 were seized in our presence. It is not true that I have given statement now read over to me. Statement is marked as **Ex.P.17.**

Cross examination: Accused No.1 to 4 counsels submits
NIL

Re-examination: NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)