

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

S.C.No: 5031/2025

CW:13

PW: 9

Duly sworn through VC on: 14.01.2026

Name	Dr. Pradeep T.P.
Father's Name	Palakshappa
Age	41 years
Occupation	Scientific Officer, RFSL, Mysuru
Residence & Mobile Number	Mysore

Examination-in-chief by Public Prosecutor

1. Since from August 2023, I am working as as Scientific Officer, in RFSL, Mysuru

2. On 08.07.2025, our office received 3 sealed articles in respect of the case in Cr.No.74/2025 of Virajpet Rural Police Station through PC No.222-Sri.K.Krishnaprasad. The seals on the articles were intact and tallied with the sample seal. The reception department of our office received them and gave them our office number

RFSLMYS/FLMS/BS/736/2025. The said materials were sent to my department for examination.

3. When I opened the articles for examination I found following articles which also contains the IO marking as below.

Sl. No.	Description of the article	IO marking.
1	One wooden stick	1
2	One shirt	2
3	One shirt	3

4. I have subjected these articles for Chemical examination and serological examination.

1. Blood stains were detected in Article Nos.1,2 and 3.
2. Blood stains detected in Article No.1, 2 and 3 were of human origin.
3. Articles Nos.1,2 and 3 were stained with A group blood.

I have mentioned my certificate of examination regarding method of analysis and result of analysis.

5. I have issued certificate of examination. Now I identified the certificate of examination, same is marked as

Ex.P.36 and signed digitally. The cutting and scrapping have been completely used up for serological analysis.

6. After examination all the articles were repacked and I have put our office seal on these articles and also prepared sample seal and sent to the sealed articles with the sample seal to the concerned police. Now I identified the sample seal which is marked as **Ex.P.37 and Ex.P.37(a)** is my signature.

6. Now I have identified M.O- 1 to 3 through Video conference. Having examined those material objects, I had affixed sticker with seal and my signature on each articles. The sticker and my signatures are marked as **M.O.1(a), 2(a) and M.O. 3(b)** respectively.

Cross-examination by Smt. MHS/LADC Advocate of accused:

7. Having received the articles for examination, the date has been mentioned in records as 08.07.2025. Duration of examination of articles has been mentioned in reports. If the

blood stains are dried, upto 3 years, which are fit for analysis. It is not true MO.1 to 3 were not fit for examination of chemical analysis. It is not true as instance of police incorrect report is given. It is not true the article examine have no nexus to this case. The articles were opened in my presence by lab assistant. The duration of examination of articles are mentioned my report. It is not true I have not examined MO.1 to 3, and created the report.

Re-examination: NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)