

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

S.C.No.5028/2024

PW:5

CW:5

Duly sworn on 19.12.2025

Name of the witness	Sachin Monnappa
Father Name	Karumbaiah
Age	33 years
Occupation	Working in Private Company, Bengaluru
Residence & Mobile Number	Begur, Ponnampet

Examination-in-chief by Public Prosecutor:

1. I know deceased Prakash, PW.1, 2 and accused No.1, who are Mallanda family members and belongs to my village. I know PW.4, CW.6 to 10, 16. My estate is situated at distance of 300 mtr from my estate.
2. On 30.03.2024 at about 11.30 am I was in my estate and heard firing gun sound and also heard another gun shot(in all two rounds) in seconds time. I had also heard

screaming sound. Immediately, I called PW.4 stating that something has happened. PW.3 Chethan was near the jeep by holding water and he called me to come to the spot. Within 5-10 minutes I rushed to the spot. Prakash was lying near the gate of accused No.1 compound. PW.2 Dyan was bleeding and lying at a distance of 20 feet from Prakash. CW.9 and 10, accused No.1 and 2 were present.

3. Myself, PW.4, CW.9, and PW.3 have shifted PW.2 to the Brezza car of accused No.1 and shifted him to Gonikoppa Hospital, from Gonikoppa he was shifted to Mysuru hospital.
4. I came to know from CW.9/Riyan stating that the father has killed Prakash and fired at PW.2/Dyan. PW.2 has also stated to me that the accused No.1 and 2 have killed his father and fired at him. The incident arising out of property dispute. The dead body was lying as appearing in Ex.P.2 photo. The said portion is marked as **Ex.P.2(d)**.

The place where dead body was lying is also seeing in Ex.P.3/photo. The dead body of Prakash is seen in Ex.P.7 to 9 photos. I have given statement in this regard.

(At the stage, learned PP seeks to declare witness as partly hostile, permitted)

Cross Examination: Learned Public Prosecutor:

5. It is not true that accused No.1 was saying that Dyan must be shifted and Prakash should be lying there itself and prevented from shifting Prakash to the hospital. The portion is marked as Ex.P.22.

Cross Examination: Advocate for accused Sri.VSP submitted that accused No.1 has hospitalized, no instruction for cross examination. Prayer is rejected (for the same reasons stated separately in the order sheet dated 18.12.2025).

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)