

IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL.DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KODAGU-MADIKERI (SITTING AT VIRAJPET)

Spl.C.No:5028/2023

CW:17

PW:10

Duly sworn on 13.11.2025

Deposition of	Dr.S.M.Bhargavi
Husband Name	Dr.Nagaraju P M
Age	46 years
Occupation	Assistant Director, RFSL, Mysuru
Residence & Mobile Number	Mysuru

Through Google meet Video Conference from RFSL Office,
Mysuru

Examination-in-chief by Public Prosecutor

1. From February 2022, I am working as Assistant Director, in RFSL, Mysuru.
2. On 29.05.2023, our office received 4 sealed articles in respect of the case in Crime No.52/2023 of Gonikoppa Police Station through PC.No.128-Veeresh. The seals on the articles were intact and tallied with the sample seal. The reception department of our office received them and gave them our office number

RFSLMYS/FLMS/TS/1087/2023. The said materials were sent to my Toxicology department for examination.

3. Case was allotted to me based on seniority by my assistant director. After receipt of articles and case filed. The sample seal found to be intact. When I opened those items for analysis, I found the following items among them.

Sl.No.	Description of the article/s	IO marking.
1	Stomach and contents.	11
2	Portion of Liver and Kidney	12
3	Blood	13
4	Common Salt	14

4. Article number 4 is used to preserve articles Nos.1 to 3. I have subjected the said articles to chemical examination. My opinion is that “ **Residues of Volatile poisons including Ethyl Alcohol, Pesticides, Alkaloids, Barbiturates, Benzodiazepine group of drugs, Toxic metal ions and anions were not detected in all the above stated articles.**”

5. After the examination, the said items were destroyed as per the rules. I have prepared a report in this regard and sent it to the Investigating Officer. Now I identified the digitally signed certificate of examination, same is already marked as **Ex.P.21**, it is signed digitally marked as **Ex.P.21(a)**.

Cross-examination by Sri.PMC, Advocate of accused:

6. Articles were submitted in the office reception counter, thereafter it was allotted to me. Reception counter will verify the articles and receive it and thereafter forwarded to concerned section. When I received the articles, the seals were intact and tallied with sample seal.

7. The time of receiving the articles from the concerned section has not mentioned. In the formate the time is not provided, therefore in the report time of receipt of article did not mention. I have no impediment to mention in the report, that the articles were fit for the examination. Since in my report the duration of examination is mentioned, as such there is no delay. I have personally conducted the test. The case details documents

will not be submitted by the police, but we can check it through online. We have facility of storing perishable articles. It is not true that the articles were not in fit condition for examination and report has been given to help the police.

Re-examination: NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

Sd/-

II Addl.District & Sessions Judge,
Kodagu-Madikeri(Sitting at Virajpet)